PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: West Tennessee State Penitentiary

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA
Date Final Report Submitted: 05/19/2022

Auditor Certification		
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.		V
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.		V
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.		V
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Debra D. Dawson Date of Signature: 05/19/2022		

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Dawson, Debra
Email:	dddawsonprofessionalaudits@gmail.com
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	04/27/2022
End Date of On-Site Audit:	04/29/2022

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	West Tennessee State Penitentiary
Facility physical address:	480 Green Chapel Road, Henning, Tennessee - 38041
Facility mailing address:	

Primary Contact	
Name:	Todd Buchanan
Email Address:	todd.b.buchanan@tn.gov
Telephone Number:	731-738-1642

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Johnny Fitz
Email Address:	johnny.y.fitz@tn.gov
Telephone Number:	731-738-1631

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	Jessica Wilson
Email Address:	Jessica.R.Wilson@tn.gov
Telephone Number:	O: 731-738-1643

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Megan Garrigus
Email Address:	mharris@teamcenturion.com
Telephone Number:	731-738-1804

Facility Characteristics		
Designed facility capacity:	1082	
Current population of facility:	865	
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	917	
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No	
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Males	
Age range of population:	18-78	
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum Trustee to Maximum	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No	
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	443	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	184	
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	112	

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Tennessee Department of Correction
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	320 Sixth Avenue North, Nashville, Tennessee - 37243
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:			
Name:			
Email Address:			
Telephone Number:			
Agency-Wide PREA Coordin	ator Information		
Name:	Blake Pollock	Email Address:	Blake.H.Pollock@tn.gov
SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDIN	IGS		
The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met. Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.			
	Number of stand	lards exceeded:	
2		 115.17 - Hiring and promotion decisions 115.41 - Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness 	
Number of standards met:			
43			
Number of standards not met:			
0			

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION **On-site Audit Dates** 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit: 2022-04-27 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit: 2022-04-29 Outreach 10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based Yes organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant O No conditions in the facility? a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim The Shelby County Crime Victim and Crisis Center, Facility Director advocates with whom you communicated: Sandy Bromley. WTSP Victims Advocate, Counselor Ray AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION 14. Designated facility capacity: 1082 917 15. Average daily population for the past 12 months: 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: 27 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or Yes youthful/juvenile detainees? No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility) Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the **Audit** Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit 845 36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit: 38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with 0 a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:

Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews			
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews			
INTERVIEWS			
52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.		
51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	184		
50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	112		
49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	443		
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit			
48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	No text provided.		
47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		
46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	19		
45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	3		
44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2		
43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1		
42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2		
41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0		

53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	24	
54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	 □ Age ☑ Race ☑ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ☑ Length of time in the facility ☑ Housing assignment ☑ Gender □ Other □ None 	
55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	Inmates were selected from each housing unit and selected from a roster that identified race and age. Inmates were also selected based on their status within the targeted group selection.	
56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?	• Yes • No	
57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No text provided.	
Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews		
58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	38	
As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".		
60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	2	
61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0	

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Inmates identified with a cognitive or functional disability are housed at the Lois DeBerry Special Needs Facility
62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Inmates identified as deaf are housed at the Lois DeBerry Special Needs Facility
64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	2
65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	1
66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	1
67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	3

68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	4
69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Interviews conducted with Warden, Facility PREA Coordinator, the Facility PCM, staff who supervise segregation and 3 inmates who reported sexual abuse, all who confirmed inmates are placed in segregation for risk of sexual victimization and/or alleged to have suffered sexual abuse.
70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews	
Random Staff Interviews	
71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	20
72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	 ✓ Length of tenure in the facility ✓ Shift assignment ✓ Work assignment ✓ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) ☐ None
73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	• Yes • No
74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to	No text provided.

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews	
Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the spapply to an interview with a single staff member and that information w	ecialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may rould satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.
75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	26
76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	♥ Yes♥ No
77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	
78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	⊙ Yes ○ No
79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?	 Yes No NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	 ✓ Agency contract administrator ✓ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) ✓ Medical staff ✓ Mental health staff ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches ✓ Administrative (human resources) staff ✓ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff ✓ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations ✓ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
	abusiveness ✓ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
	✓ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
	✓ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
	First responders, both security and non-security staff
	✓ Intake staff
	☐ Other
81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	⊙ Yes
with initiates/residents/detailiees in this lacility?	C No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	3

b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	☐ Education/programming ☐ Medical/dental ☐ Mental health/counseling ☐ Religious ☐ Other ③ Yes
	C No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	6
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	 ☐ Security/detention ☐ Education/programming ☑ Medical/dental ☑ Food service ☐ Maintenance/construction ☐ Other
83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	No text provided.
SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTA	ATION SAMPLING
Site Review	
PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring p whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonthe site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, impidentified with facility practices. The information you collect through the your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your	audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The rocess that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine instrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting portant information gathered through observations, and any issues a site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of
84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	⊙ Yes
	C No
Was the site review an active, inquiring process that incl	uded the following:
85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?	⊙ Yes ⊙ No

86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	• Yes • No
87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	♥ Yes♥ No
88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	♥ Yes♥ No
89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).	No text provided.
Documentation Sampling	
Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contracted supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each ty	; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-
90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?	 Yes No
91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).	No text provided.
SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL H	IARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse	2	0	2	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	3	0	3	0
Total	5	0	5	0

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	2	0	2	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	4	0	4	0
Total	6	0	6	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing		Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review 5 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files Yes include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files) Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL 2 ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:

101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) 	
102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) 	
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files		
103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	3	
104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) 	
105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) 	
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Revie	w	
106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	6	
107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	 C Yes No C NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files) 	
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files		
108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2	

109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations? 110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	4
112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No text provided.
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION	
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff	
115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	○ Yes○ No
Non-certified Support Staff	
116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	○ Yes○ No

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION	
121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	The audited facility or its parent agency
	My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
	C A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
	© Other

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1.WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART (Sexual Abuse Response Teams)
- 3. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education and Compliance
- 4. WTSP Organizational Chart and TDOC Organization Chart
- 5. WTSP Index 502.06.2-1 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 6. Interviews with:
- a. TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator
- b. WTSP PREA Compliance Manager

115.11(a) The agency and facility have a comprehensive written policy that mandates zero tolerance toward all types of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Index TDOC.502.06 states it is the policy of the TDOC go provide a safe, human, and appropriately secure environment, free from threat of sexual abuse and sexual harassment for all inmates, by maintain a program of prevention, detection, response, investigation, and tracking of all alleged and substantiates sexual assaults and sexual harassment. TDOC has a zero tolerance for incidences of sexual abuse and sexual harassment within its facilities. The Directive clearly outlines the agency's zero tolerance policy and identifies the agency's approach to the prevention, detection, and response to sexual assault incidents in their facility. The Directive includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment that are consistent with the PREA standards. The Directive also outlines sanctions for those that have participated in such prohibited behaviors to include staff, contractors, volunteers, and the inmate population.

In addition to TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education and Compliance. The Department also developed TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART Sexual Abuse Response Teams (SART). The policy outlines the duties and responsibilities of staff designated to serve on an organized and structure team responsible for developing and maintaining a program of prevention, detection, response, investigation, and tracking of sexual assaults and the persons involved. The policies includes definitions pertaining to PREA, and procedures after receiving an allegation of PREA; multiple methods for inmate reporting, responsibilities of First Responders; SART Response; SART Investigations; Sexual Abuse Incident Review; monitoring for retaliation; administrative investigations; criminal investigations; reporting the status of allegations to inmates; disciplinary sanctions for inmates; sanctions for contractor and volunteers; and allegations occurring in other correction settings.

TDOC 502.06 indicates each PREA Site Coordinator and /or PREA Compliance Manager shall ensure unannounced PREA-free walk (inspection) is conducted monthly in accordance with PREA Inspection Team Worksheet, CR-3821. This inspection shall be conducted to identify and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment. By the 15th of each month, the Warden/Superintendent/Designee shall submit the facility's previous month's PREA Inspection to the Assistant Commissioner of Prisons. The Assistant Commissioner of Prison/designee shall compile all the facility reports and forward to each Assistant Commissioner, Deputy Commissioner, Inspector General, Statewide PREA Coordinator, and Director of Decision Support: Research and Planning for review.

WTSP 502.06.2-1 was developed to establish standardized procedures in the reporting and investigations of all PREA allegations and role of the Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART). The Head of Agency Designee, State-wide PREA Coordinator and WTSP PCM indicated monthly PREA walks, meetings, and reports are conducted in accordance with TDOC policy and inspection standards such as why an incident occurred in a particular area, and what corrective actions could be applied if applicable. SART review security equipment and submit recommendations for mirrors and video placement that would serves as a level protection for inmates from sexual assault or sexual abuse during the monthly walk through. Monthly SART inspections for each of the 12-month review periods were submitted. PREA unannounced rounds were documented, review of completed PREA investigations were included, areas of the facility toured and by whom was documented, and findings were noted within the reports. A work order is submitted as needed and is required to be completed within 30 days of submission. Designated members of the SART serve as the victim advocate and all members of the SART participate in conducting Incident Reviews within 30 days of the completed PREA investigations to include sexual harassment and sexual abuse. Documented observation of compliance and/or non-compliance to include corrective measures taken of standards 115.11 through 115.86 was confirmed.

115.11(b) The agency has designated a State-wide PREA Coordinator with the Office of the Inspector General, who is assigned the duties of overseeing the agency's efforts regarding PREA in all its facilities. The agency's organizational chart shows the State-wide PREA Coordinator reports directly to the Director Compliance with the Office of the Inspector General. An interview with the TDOC Stare-wide PREA Coordinator indicated he has sufficient time to manage all PREA related responsibilities as required per his position as the PREA State-wide Coordinator. He added, there are currently eleven PREA Compliance Managers while one is assigned to each TDOC facility. He maintains a continuous open line of communication and interaction through emails, text, phone calls, and monthly PREA conference calls. He continued in stating, if any issues arise that may jeopardize a facility's compliance with the PREA standards, he immediately contacts the affected facility to discuss what obstacles they are encountering and collectively apply corrective measures to ensure compliance. He identifies areas of concerns and provides guidance and updates on policy and/or procedure changes. Achieving compliance with all provisions of the PREA standards is his primary focus. The auditor identified the State-wide PREA Coordinator as actively involved with the TDOC facility while displaying a positive and productive role in providing training, guidance, and assistance to the Associate Warden/Facility PREA Coordinator and WTSP PCM during the audit process in the submission of documentation.

115.11(c) The Associate Warden of Treatment (T) at each TDOC facility also serves as facility PREA Coordinator and reports directly to the Warden. All TDOC facilities also have an on-site PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) who works to ensure the facility's compliance with the DOJ PREA standards. The facility's organizational chart was provided for review that identifies the WTSP PCM position as a dedicated position who reports directly to the Warden and currently holds the position of Administrative Clerk 3. The auditor interviewed the WTSP PCM who confirmed she has sufficient time to perform her duties as the facility's PCM while overseeing the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards. She added she communicates with the WTSP PREA Coordinator and the Warden to address any areas of concerns and the development of corrective measures as needed. She identified the duties of the monthly SART meetings and monthly walk throughs play a major role as a preventive measure in identifying and eliminating possible occurrences of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected, the facility has presented its commitment in the prevention and detection of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in accordance with the Department of Justice through the development of agency and facility polices, appropriate assignment of the TDOC-wide PREA Coordinator, Facility PREA Compliance Manager, documented unannounced PREA rounds by supervisory staff, and monthly unannounced PREA-free walk (inspection) conducted by members of the SART, therefore, WTSP meets all provisions of the standard.

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. Interviews with the following:
	a. State-wide PREA Coordinator/ Agency Contract Monitor
	WTSP does not contract for the confinement of its inmates. However, the Tennessee Department of Corrections does have 4 contracts for the confinement of inmates, and all are monitored by the Contract Monitoring Division within the Office of the Inspector General.
	TDOC Index 502.06 states employees of privately managed facilities shall receive PREA training as part of the pre-service and in-service training requirements established by the contractor and approved by TDOC. The Director of Contracts Administration shall ensure that all new TDOC contracts or contract renewals include language requiring compliance with the PREA standards. The TDOC has entered four contracts for the confinement of inmates with a private agency (Core Civic). Interview with the State-wide PREA Coordinator who is also the Contract Monitor indicated he communicate with the contracting agencies and address any concerns regarding maintaining compliance with all PREA standards. A review of the contracts indicated the requirement for each facility to maintain PREA certification as a condition of the contracts is documented.
	The facilities' most recent PREA audits were submitted as the following: Hardeman County Correctional Facility on August 6, 2020; South Central Correctional Center on February 17, 2020; Whiteville Correctional Facility on September 16, 2020; Trousdale Turner Correctional Center most recent posted PREA audit is noted as August 11, 2021.
	Based on the review of the contracts, review of agency's website, audit reports and interview, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all provisions of this standard.

115.13 Supervision and monitoring

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education and Compliance
- 3 WTSP Annual Staffing Review
- 4. Post Assignment Rosters
- 5. Logbooks documenting unannounced rounds.
- 6. Observation while on-site
- 8. Interviews with:
- a. Warden
- b. WTSP PREA PCM
- c. Intermediate or Higher-Level Staff
- d. TDOC Statewide PREA Coordinator

115.13(a) (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06 states Each facility shall develop a staffing pattern that provides for the adequate levels of staff and monitoring to protect inmates against sexual abuse. By July 1st of each calendar year each facility shall assess, determine, and document whether adjustments are needed to the facility staffing plan. This review will follow the guidelines of PREA Standard 15.13 (a), (b) and (c). This review shall be completed on the PREA Annual Staffing Review form CR-3964. The 2021 WTSP Staffing Plan addresses the eleven elements identified with the standard provision. Per interviews with the Warden, the facility's staffing plan is reviewed at a minimum annually by himself, Associate Warden of Security, Facility PREA Coordinator, Chief of Security, and TDOC State-wide Coordinator. The facility staffing plan was developed with minimum operations staffing levels in mind and a daily staff roster is reviewed to ensure adequate staff in accordance with the stated staffing The average number of inmates within the last 12 months was 917 and the average daily number of inmates on which the staffing plan was predicated was 1080. TDOC has been granted funding for the installation and upgrade of video monitoring for all facilities as needed within their agency. Eighty-four cameras have been added throughout the facility since the last previous PREA audit that enhances the facility security operations and safety of inmates and staff from sexual abuse.

plan. The daily rosters identify positions, the staffing requirements for those positions and reconciles staffing deployment in accordance with the position requirements outlined in the staffing plan. The auditor requested security staff daily rosters for the first Saturday and second Monday of each month for review of compliance with the staffing plan. All critical posts were manned by security staff as required.

An interview with the Warden indicated security post assignments are identified as critical and non-critical to ensure compliance. Security post assignment rosters are reviewed daily by the Administrative Lieutenant, Chief of Security, Associate Warden of Security then himself. He added the agency's increase in salary for correctional officers and assisted in an increase in correctional officer applicants to include the return of correctional officers who previously resigned. A security post assignment would never be vacated during the hours they are identified as critical. The staffing pattern is designed to have extra staff available for sick leave, annual leave and providing coverage for inmates on medical trips. However, the facility would schedule staff to work overtime rather than be non-compliance with the staffing plan. Members of the facility's executive staff have also provided coverage as needed to ensure compliance with the staffing plan. Any deviations from the staffing plan would be documented on the daily roster with an explanation.

A copy of the WTSP Post Assignment Roster identifies the staffing level while also identifying correctional post as critical, non-critical and those posts that are to be rotated after 4 hours. The WTSP Master Post Assignment Schedule, CR3914 for is required to be submitted by the Warden to the Assistant Commission of Prison for approval while identifying any request for changes to the assignment of critical and non-critical prior to the change.

The auditor randomly selected security staff rosters for the second Saturday and first Monday of each month for review of compliance with the approved staffing plan. The review confirmed there were no areas of non-compliance with the staffing plan. All critical posts were filled either by overtime and or the reassignment of staff from a non-critical post. Throughout the

on-site tour it was noted that staffing was adequate and prevalent throughout the institution.

115.13(d) TDOC #502.06 states Staff, Security Shift Corporal and above, Unit Managers, and /or Administrative Duty Officer, shall conduct and document unannounced round to identity and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The unit/program Logbook shall be annotated with Unannounced PREA Inspection/Security Check when signing into the unit /program area. This documentation shall be made in red ink only. Any staff member alerting other staff members that these unannounced rounds are occurring will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action. Throughout the tour, the auditor reviewed logbooks in all housing units for the previous 12 months and confirmed unannounced rounds were conducted not less than once on each shift by supervisory staff. The documentation of unannounced rounds was noted in red ink. Confirmation of supervisory rounds were also indicated by supervisory staff during their interviews and the security staff assigned to the various housing units. Each supervisory staff stated they alternate their rounds schedule and route regularly that prevents staff and inmate awareness of supervisory staff approaching their housing unit and/or work site.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, WTSP Staffing Plan, post assignment daily rosters, documented unannounced PREA rounds, and staff interviews, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 506.14.2 Housing, Programming of Youth Inmates
	3. Observation during onsite tour
	4. Interviews with the following:
	a. Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator
	b. Staff
	c. Inmates
	TDOC 506.14.2 indicates for the purpose of the policy only, juvenile offenders are person between the ages of 16 and 18 who are sentenced and committed to the TDOC by court having adult criminal jurisdiction. Review of the PAQ, policy and interviews confirmed the facility does not house youthful inmates. The WTSP PAQ, and Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator identified the age range of inmates housed at the facility are between 18-78 years old. Interviews with staff and the inmate population confirmed no awareness of inmates housed at the facility under the age of 18 years old.
	Based on the review of the PAQ, policy, observation, interviews and analysis that the facility does not house inmates under the age of 18 years old, WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all provisions of this standard.

115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, Compliance
- 3. WTSP Index 112.08-1 Personal Hygiene Resources for Inmates
- 4. TDOC Index 506.06-1 Searches
- 5. TDOC Index 113.37 Gender Dysphoria
- 6. TCA Lesson Plan- Personal Searches
- 7. Training records
- 8. Observation while on-site
- 9. Interviews with:
- a. Random staff
- b. Inmate identified as Transgender

115.15(a) TDOC Index 502.06 states that security staff shall be trained on how to conduct cross-gender frisk searches, and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. TDOC Index 113.37 states should circumstances allow, staff should consult with a transgender or intersex inmate before conducting a search to determine the inmate's preference in the gender of the officer conducting the search. TDOC Index 506.06 and WTSP 506.06-1 Searches states routine strip searches and/or visual body cavity searches will occur in authorized areas. Searches based on reasonable suspicion require the Warden's authorization. Staff interviews did not indicate any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of inmates, including any exigent circumstances, conducted by security or medical staff. The PAQ listed zero cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of inmates in the past 12 months. WTSP houses male inmates. Formal and informal interviews with the inmate population confirmed they had not been subjected to cross-gender viewing by staff during a strip search or visual cavity search. Interviews with medical staff, and the inmate population indicated WTSP have not conducted any visual cavity searches to include during the 12-month review period.

115.15(b) TDOC Index 506.06 states, "Female correctional officers may frisk search inmates of both genders". Male correctional officers may only frisk search male inmates. Interviews with staff and inmate population confirmed the male inmate population is frisk search by both male and female staff members. WTSP does not house female inmates.

115.15(c) Body cavity searches requires prior written authorization from the managing official or designee before conducting a body cavity search. The facility reported zero cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of inmates including any exigent circumstances, conducted by security or medical staff in the past 12 months. Staff and inmate interviews did not indicate any occurrence of cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of inmates, including any exigent circumstances, conducted by security or medical staff in the past 12 months.

115.15(d) Per WTSP Index 112.08-1 Showers are provided with doors to provide privacy yet maintain security. Transgender and intersex inmates shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates. Individual cells are designed to provide privacy in changing clothes and performing bodily functions without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing breast, genitalia, or buttocks except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. During the tour it was noted that the facility implemented procedures that allow inmates to shower, change clothes, and use the toilet without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender. All housing units were observed to have doors and/or shower curtains in place that allow inmates to use both the toilet, and shower without being observed by staff of the opposite gender. Interviews with 38 inmates to include random targeted indicated they have not incurred incidents in where they considered their privacy was violated while changing clothes, subjected to visual searches, use of restroom and/or during showering by staff of the opposite gender.

TDOC 502.06-1 states, "Staff of the opposite sex announce their presence when entering a housing unit." Signage is noted on each housing door that opposite gender staff must announce themselves when entering the housing unit. This practice was observed during the tour. Additionally, during 38 formal interviews and 10 informal interviews with the inmate population,

all acknowledged the female staff announce themselves when entering the housing unit. Staff interviews also confirmed it is a common practice of the female staff announcing themselves prior to entering the housing units.

115.15(e) TDOC Index 506.06-1 Searches regarding strip searches of transgender and intersex inmate's states, "A strip search of a transgender or intersex inmate may not be conducted for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status." If an inmate's status is unknown, it may be determined by conversation with the inmate, a review of available medical records, or as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a licensed medical professional. TDOC 506.06 states if there is uncertainty as to a person's gender, the responsible officer shall use best judgment as to how the person presents, as male or female, and shall arrange for an officer of the agender to conduct the search. If the subject of the search, then objects based on gender, an officer of the person's apparently preferred gender shall conduct the search. All staff interviewed reported the facility prohibits staff from searching and/or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining genital status. There were no inmates identified as intersex at the facility during the review period and/or site visit. However, the one inmate identified as transgender was interviewed. She stated she arrived at the facility in March 2022 and have not incurred any concerns with being searched by the gender of her choice. She also stated there has not been any instances where it appeared the search was conducted solely for the purpose of determining her genital status.

115.15(f) TDOC Index 506.06-1 defines the term "Frisk Search" as a search not requiring the removal of all clothing. It is conducted by running your hands across clothing to detect hidden objects." Policy notes that frisk searches may be conducted by female staff on male inmates. Strip searches are performed exclusively by staff of the same gender this including a provision for transgender or intersex inmates who may prefer to be searched by a specific gender of staff.

The TDOC Basic Correctional Academy Basic Correctional Officer Training Program Curriculum "Personal Searches (BCOT) was revised as of May 22, 2021. The course includes but not limited to the following: 1) frisk search; 2) strip searches; 3) visual body cavity searches; 4) gender dysphoria and person searches; and Cross-gender searches. The course is presented to all new hires within the TDOC security department and meets the provision of this standard. During random staff interviews, staff stated they completed the training and provided appropriate response in conducting searches of transgender and /or intersex inmates to include not conducting such searches for the sole purpose of determining an inmate's genial status, allowing the transgender and/or intersex inmate to identify the staff's gender to conduct the search, and conducting the search in a less intrusive manner as possible. The Pre-Audit Questionnaire noted that 100% of staff have been trained. However, the facility was unavailable to provide supporting documentation that security staff hired prior to the implementation of the PREA standards received the required training. Therefore, the course was presented to ALL security staff during each shift briefing by an authorized trainer and/or their shift commander during the post-audit period. At the completion of the personal search training presentation, staff acknowledged receipt of personal search training via their signature. There were no inmates identified as intersex at the facility during the review period, The facility's one inmate identified as transgender confirmed no concerns in the method of being searched by staff that includes frisk and/or visual search. These searches are conducted by female staff as identified as the inmate's preference.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, TDOC Personal Search Training, security staff completion of personal search training, interviews with random staff, inmates, inmate identified as transgender, observation during on-site visit, and opposite staff announcement posters, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
- 3. TDOC Index 103.10.1 Title VI Limited English Proficiency (LEP)
- 4. WTSP Rules and Regulations Handbook
- 5. Translation Services Documentation and Contact Notes
- 6. Observation while on-site
- 7. WTSP Limited English Proficient Plan
- 8. Interviews with:
- a. Agency Head Designee
- b. Staff Who Provide Translation services
- c. Random Staff
- d. Interviews with Limited English Proficient Inmates
- e. Interviews with Inmates Identified with Disabilities

115.16(a)(b) TDOC Index 502.06 states staff shall ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities, including inmates who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skill, or who are blind or have low vision. Agency policy also requires that, except under limited circumstances where a delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of the first responder duties, or the investigation of an inmate's allegation; inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance are not used to communicate information required under this directive for other inmates. TDOC Index 103.10.1 policy indicates that an assessment during the intake process will determine if the inmate requires language or literacy assistance. The review of "Plan for Providing Inmates with Limited English Proficiency Access to Programs and Activities (As required by the Civil Rights Act of 1964) outlines the specifics for ensuring methods are identified for communicating with inmates who are LEP. The plan identifies the duties of staff within the various facility departments to include but not limited to medical/mental health services, classification assignment and hearing; disciplinary procedures, grievance procedures, education /programs. Housing assignment, court appearances, parole hearings program availability. The plan lists outside agencies that are available to provide a variety of services for inmates with a range of disabilities to include LEP.

The WTSP developed a Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan to ensure LEP inmates' access to programs, services and activities as mandated by the Civil Rights Act of 1946 are adhered too. Component 1 identifies upon arrival at the institution, inmates will be evaluated at Intake to determine nationality, and language proficiency, Judgment order will be reviewed. An inmate who does not understand verbal instructions will be assessed using the A Language Identification Guide to determine the inmate's primary language. The name(s) of the inmates(s) requiring LEP services will be documented and reported to the Associate Warden of Treatment immediately. An approved interpreter will be provided, if necessary, and documentation of the interpreter's name will be noted on TOMIS (LCDG).

The facility Associate Warden (T) has been designated as staff to provide translation services for the inmate population in the Spanish language. This information is available to both staff and the inmate population while posted on bulletin boards accessible to both. The Associate Warden provided translation services during the auditor interviews with two inmates identified as LEP (Spanish).

The Counseling Services Team evaluates the inmates' ability to understand without an interpreter during the orientation/classification assignment and hearings. If it is evident that the inmate's knowledge of the English language is insufficient, then interpretation services are provided as needed.

Upon arrival during the intake process inmates who are identified as LEP are shown an AVAZA Language Services Language Identification Guide and asked to identify their language.

When an interpreter is used, a contact note is required to be made in ETOMIS conversation LCDG identifying the translator and the services provided. Confirmation of the documented translation services within the ETOMIS was provided for review by the auditor.

The facility Associate Warden (T) has been designated as staff to provide translation services for the inmate population in the Spanish language. This information is available to both staff and the inmate population while posted on bulletin boards accessible to both.

External resources available to the institution are provided by the University of Tennessee, Martin (Ripley Campus) to interpret the necessary rules and policies to any inmate who is Spanish speaking. If communication is needed for an emergency medical issue to a family emergency to a specific hearing impaired, blind, deaf, and/or mute inmate, the Jackson Center for Independent Living for translation services.

The Tennessee Language center is a telephonic interpreter that can be accessed by dialing 877-346-1674 from an institution phone upon providing the required authorized information that incur a minimum cost for usage. Over 200 languages are available to facilitate most every communication that could be encountered by staff. The most frequent translation services are used for the Spanish language and not by the Tennessee Language Center. Inmates identified with special needs as such are designated at the TDOC Lois DeBerry Special Needs Facility.

At orientation inmates are provided a copy of the WTSP Rules and Regulations that covers the agency's zero-tolerance policy. The handbook addresses the if inmates have problems with English or know someone that needs assistance, to contact the LEP coordinator, or the principal as interpreting services are available Additionally, inmates also participate in a video that specifically covers PREA topics to include the agency's zero-tolerance policy; how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment; agency policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and inmate rights regarding sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation. The video presentation has audio and is also available in Spanish. Sign language services are available through Statewide Visual Communication Services. An Interagency agreement between the State of Tennessee Department of Corrections and the University of Tennessee was entered into on October 1, 2020, to provide interpretation and translation services.

The TDC Agency Head Designee stated in response to the agency's establishment of procedures to provide inmates with disabilities and inmates who are LEP equal opportunities to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and respond to sexual harassment, she acknowledged these programs can always be strengthen. However, TDOC strives to ensure that all offenders regardless of disability or ability to speak English are afforded equal opportunities to all aspects of the agency's' PREA program. Contracts exist for medical, mental health and translation services to provide service to these offenders. Offenders are identified at orientation with a particular need and are given information related to issues they might experience related to PREA.

The following inmates were identified and interviewed within this standard: 2 Limited English Proficient (LEP); 1- vision impaired; 2 - inmates with physical disabilities. The Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator provided translation services for the auditor during the interview process with the 2 Limited English Proficient inmates. Interviews with inmates identified with low vision and those identified with physical disabilities indicated they were provided PREA education in a manner they were able to fully comprehend and was aware of how to report allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.

115.16(c) TDOC Index 502.06 states, "Inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance are not used to communicate information required under this directive to other inmates, except under limited circumstances where a delay in obtaining an effective non-inmate interpreter would compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first responder duties, or the investigation of an inmate's allegation. TDOC 103.01 stated "No institution or community supervision office shall relay on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first responder duties or the investigation of an inmate s' allegations under CFR 115.64 and Policy #502.06.2

The Associate Warden provided translation services during the auditor interviews with two inmates identified as LEP (Spanish). Additionally, confirmation of the translation services provided by the Associate Warden (T) was documented in the contact notes of inmates who were identified as LEP during risk screening.

A review of the 11 PREA investigations identified all allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment was reported by the alleged inmate victim himself. None was reported as using a translator. Interviews with both random and specialized staff confirmed they would contact their supervisor if they were unable to communicate with an inmate identified as LEP upon determining the inmate was attempting to report a PREA allegation.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, Translation Services Documentation and Contact Notes, WTSP Limited English Proficient Plan, WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook, interviews with

Agency Head Designee, staff, inmates identified as LEP, and with disabilities, random staff, staff who provide translation services, ,it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 301.04 Job Requirements
- 3 TDOC PREA Self Declaration Forms
- 4. TDOC PREA Questionnaire for Prior Institution Employees
- 5. Hiring and Promotional Records
- 6. Criminal History Background Records Check Documentation
- 7. Interview with:
- a. Human Resource Staff

115.17(a)(b) TDOC Index 301.04 states the agency shall not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates and shall not enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates. who: a) Has engage in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution? b) has been convicted of engaging or attempt to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse, or;) has been civilly or administratively, adjudicated to have engaged in activity described in (b) above. d) The department shall consider any incident of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates. A review of the policy confirms it meets the provision of the standard.

115.17 (c) (d) TDOC Index 301.04 identifies procedures and measures to be completed by the human resource staff when conducting background checks. A National Crime Information Center (NCIC) criminal history record checks shall be conducted on all prospective department, contract, and TRICOR employees and fingerprints shall be taken and processed on all new and/or prospective staff assigned to a safety sensitive position. The NCIC criminal history record check shall be conducted prior to employment. Such inquiries will be made to determine whether there are past pending criminal matters that would adversely impact the TDOC's mission. Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, the TDOC will make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. This information shall be documented on PREA Questionnaire for Prior Institution al Employers, CR-3962. Additionally, unless prohibited by law, the TDOC shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.

The Human Resource Manager indicated as a shared service department for both the WTSP and the Women's Therapeutic Residential Center, the Human Resource Department perform background checks for both facilities. WTSP identified 61 new hires during the review period. The auditor randomly selected the initial background checks of TDOC staff, contract staff through Aramark (food service) Mental Health and Medical (Centurion) and volunteers.

The facility identified 61 TDOC new hires during the 12-month review period. The auditor randomly selected 8 new hires for confirmation of a background check prior to hiring. The review of these 8 background checks confirmed they were completed prior to employment and within the provision of the standard.

The facility identified 4 contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered in the contract who might have contact with inmates. These contracts are identified as Aramark (food service), Medical (Centurion), TRICOR (industries) and Mental Health (Centurion). The auditor was presented with a staff roster for these departments that identified new hires. The following new hires within the departments was selected for confirmation of background checks as the noted: medical -5; mental health -5; Aramark – 4; and Volunteers -10. The review confirmed a background check was completed for each prior to employment and/or volunteer services with the inmate population.

115.17(e) TDOC Index 301.04 indicates current employees will be required to submit to an annual background check. The check is to be completed by the end of the month during which the employee's birth date occurs. The Human Resources Offices of each TDOC work location will be responsible for compiling a monthly list of employees who have birthdays within each month. Once the list is developed, the information is forward to the appropriate NCIC operator by the 25th of the month

preceding the birth month in which the checks are to be completed. Per the Human Resources Administrator, the NCIC Operators utilize the NCIC program only to conduct all background checks. The auditor randomly selected 8 TDOC staff for confirmation of annual background checks and identified no discrepancies.

115.17 (f) TDOC Index 301.04 indicates All applicants for employment or promotions, all contract employees, and all volunteers who may have any contact with offenders shall sign PREA Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment, CR-3819 to ensure compliance with PREA Standard 115.17. The PREA Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment, CR-3819 requires staff to respond to the following questions: a) Have you ever engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution? b)Have you ever been convicted of engaging or attempt to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? c) Have you ever been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity, sexual abuse, or sexual harassment?

Per the Human Resource Administrator, all current staff are required to complete the PREA Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment, CR-3819 annually during their birth month and annual background checks. Contract staff are required to submit a new form in July during their scheduled annual background check. Additionally, prior to staff requesting a promotion and are allowed to entrance for the interview, they are required to complete an updated PREA Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment, CR-3819. The submission of false information will result in disqualification and/or termination.

The auditor randomly selected confirmation of a completed PREA Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment, CR-3819 for the following: medical -5; mental health -5; Aramark – 4; volunteers -10; 8 TDOC new hires; and 8 TDOC staff selected for promotion. There were no discrepancies identified in the agency's documentation submitted for this provision.

115.17 (g) TDOC Index 301.04 indicates Material omissions regarding misconduct described in subject (a) above or the provision of materially false information are ground for termination. The Human Resource Administrator did not identify any staff as being terminated for material omissions in relationship to PREA.

115.17 (h) TDOC Index 301.04 states Consistent with Federal, State, and local law, the TDOC will make its that officials receiving the results of the criminal history record check will use it only for authorized purposes and will not retain or disseminate it in violation of federal statute. An interview with Human Resource Administrator confirmed the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work upon receiving a question are from another correctional facility. The questionnaire is forward to the facility investigator for completion. Upon completion, the questionnaire is returned to the inquiring facility by the HRM. The staff member seeking employment must give prior approval before the questionnaire can be forward for inquiry of their previous employment. A PREA Questionnaire for Prior Institution Employers were reviewed that notes the inquiry of prior sexual abuse/sexual harassment allegations and the signature of the applicant acknowledging the release of information.

Based on the review of policies, documentation, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated they exceed in the requirement to conduct background investigation at least every 5 years. Specifically, TDOC policy requires, and the facility ensures a background check is completed annually on all TDOC staff during their birth month in addition to all contract staff annually. On a yearly basis each staff to include contract are required to sign a new Self Declaration form in addition to staff seeking promotions.

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. Interviews with:
	a. Agency Head
	b. Warden
	115.18(a) TDOC Index 108.01 states, "When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, the Department shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the Department's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.
	Per the TDOC Owner Project Requirement for Designers dated 2021-2022, notes "The Designer shall provide as a part of the DDP phase submission documentation that the design team has reviewed the facility design and/or renovation modifications with regards to PREA related issues with TDOC. TDOC identified issues are to be reflected in DDP and subsequent phase documents. Meeting minutes should reflect "blind space" issues, camera location s. updating of existing monitoring systems to assist in PREA compliance requirements.
	An interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, The American Correctional Association (ACA) and PREA standards are given consideration. All recommendations are processed through the chain of command to the Commissioners. She continued in stating the Commissioners does not approve the recommendations without communicating with the Director of Compliance with the Office of the Inspector General and reviewed by the State-wide PREA Compliance Manager of the Office Inspector General. All facilities have cameras and mirrors installed. Additionally, a budget has been awarded to add and upgrade cameras throughout all TDOC facilities.
	The Warden explained when planning substantial modifications to facilities the agency considers PREA requirements to relevant blind spots in building plans. There was no substantial expansion or modification of the existing facility at WTSP since the previous PREA audit completed on June 8, 2019.
	115.18 (b) An interview with the Warden and Associate Warden /Facility PREA Coordinator confirmed the facility has received a video monitoring upgrade since the last PREA audit in 2019. Specifically, an additional 84 new video monitoring cameras were added that include 360-degree monitoring. The auditor observed and identified all camera placement during the tour of the facility and confirmed there were no viewing into the inmate showers, restrooms and or cells except for mental health observation and/or suicide observation. These posts are restricted to male staff only and viewing is eliminated from outside the control room via privacy screen placement on the monitors. The additional cameras aid in the prevention and/or detection of sexual abuse and sexual harassment on inmate and staff.
	Based on the review of policies, observation, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1.WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Office of Investigation and Conduct Evidence Protocol
- 3. TDOC Index 502.06.3 Medical, Behavioral Health, Victim Advocacy and Community Support Services for PREA Victims
- 4. TDOC Index 502.06.2-1 PREA Allegations, Investigation and Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART)
- 5. WTSP Index 502.06.2-1 PREA (SART)
- 6. MOU with The Shelby County Crime Victims & Rape Crisis Center (CVRCC)
- 8. TDOC Operation Protocol
- 9. The Shelby County Crime Victims & Rape Crisis Center website
- 10. Interviews with:
- a. Jackson General Hospital Emergency Room Charge Nurse
- b. WTSP Facility Victim Advocate
- c. Warden
- d. CVRCC Director
- e. WTSP PCM
- f. OIC Special Agent and Institution Investigator
- g. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse
- h. Random Staff

115.21 (a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 state it is the policy of the TDOC to investigate all PREA sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations in a timely, efficient, and confidential manner in accordance with federal guidelines (Title 28 CFR Part 115). The Agency employs investigators who have received special training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. The Office of Investigations and Conduct Investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence. An OIC Institution Investigator is assigned to conduct administrative investigation at all TDOC facilities. The OIC Special Agent is authorized to conduct administrative and criminal investigations. Interviews with the Warden, OIC Special Agent and OIC Institution Investigator identified both administrative and criminal investigations are conducted by TDOC OIC Investigators. Operational Protocol #008 dated July 27, 2019, identifies the Notification and Response Procedure stating "It shall be the protocol of the Investigations Unit to appropriately respond to incidents and/or allegations of sexual abuse which occur on TDOC institutional property. When notification is made in a timely manner, Agents will respond as the situation mandates to the site of examination for the purpose of evidence collection and preservation. The Department's response to sexual assault follows the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," dated April 2013, or the most current version. (1) Upon notification of alleged sexual abuse within any TDOC institution, the institution shall immediately have the alleged victim examined by qualified medical personnel. After the initial examination and collection of clothing for potential evidence, the alleged victim will be transported to the nearest Emergency Room. The ER physician or SANE will perform an examination utilizing a sexual assault evidence kit provided by TBI. This kit will be retained by the ER staff until received by an IU Agent. (2) The responding Agent shall process the alleged crime scene if feasible, receive the evidence from the attending medical staff, conduct an interview with the alleged victim and suspect at the earliest convenience, and determine the appropriate action to best facilitate the investigation. (3) Upon evaluation of all information and evidence obtained, IU staff shall deliver evidence obtained from the investigation to the TBI Crime Laboratory for evaluation as possible evidence.

Random staff confirmed knowledge of the agency's and WTSP' protocol for obtaining physical evidence upon an inmate reporting an allegation of sexual abuse. All confirmed they would separate the alleged victim and aggressor and secure the identified area immediately. They would advise the victim and /or aggressor not to dispose of any physical evidence, and

applicable DNA. Their supervisor and/or the shift commander would be immediately notified, and the victim would be escorted to medical. Each stated at that point, the incident would be taken over by the shift commander. Staff identified the investigative staff as the OIC Institution Investigator, Facility Internal Affairs Investigator, and/or the Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator. Although the Associate Warden(T)/Facility PREA Coordinator is not assigned to conduct the investigations, he is within the chain of notification of reported allegations. However, the OIC Institution Investigator and WTSP Internal Affairs do complete investigations.

115.21(b) TDOC has developed an appropriate protocol to coordinate appropriately with the most recent edition on the U.S. Department of Justices' Office on Violence Against Women Publication. The TDOC Operation Protocol was revised on July 27, 2019. The Notification and Response Procedure identify the following: (1) It shall be the protocol of the Investigations Unit to appropriately respond to incidents and/or allegations of sexual abuse which occur on TDOC institutional property. When notification is made in a timely manner, Agents will respond as the situation mandates to the site of examination for the purpose of evidence collection and preservation. The Department's response to sexual assault follows the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," dated April 2013, or the most current version. (2) Upon notification of alleged sexual abuse within any TDOC institution, the institution shall immediately have the alleged victim examined by qualified medical personnel. After the initial examination and collection of clothing for potential evidence, the alleged victim will be transported to the nearest Emergency Room. The ER physician or SANE will perform an examination utilizing a sexual assault evidence kit provided by TBI. This kit will be retained by the ER staff until received by an IU Agent. (3) The responding Agent shall process the alleged crime scene if feasible, receive the evidence from the attending medical staff, conduct an interview with the alleged victim and suspect at the earliest convenience, and determine the appropriate action to best facilitate the investigation. (4) Upon evaluation of all information and evidence obtained, IU staff shall deliver evidence obtained from the investigation to the Tennessee Bureau of Investigations (TBI) Crime Laboratory for evaluation as possible evidence. (5) The Agent will present all evidence in the case to the appropriate District Attorney for review, adoption, and prosecution of any suspects.

115.21 (c) TDOC 502.06.3 indicate upon receiving a report of an alleged sexual abuse within the 72-hour time frame, SART members that includes medical staff and the OIC institution Investigator shall determine if SAFE/SANE response is applicable at an outside medical facility. If the services of an outside medical facility are determined to be warranted, the victim shall be transported by security to an outside medical facility with SAFE/SANE personnel for a forensic examination at no cost to the victim. Upon receiving a report to an alleged sexual abuse outside of the 72-hour time frame, SART members shall determine if SAFE/SANE response is indicated at an outside medical facility with SAFE/SANE personnel. The alleged victim shall be transported only to medical facilities trained and equipped with SANE personnel. If SAFE/SANE personnel cannot be made available, the forensic examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners. The medical member of the SART shall document the efforts to provide SANE services. Interviews with the WTSP PCM, Health Services Administrative, Director of Nursing, and the Behavior Health Administrator, each confirmed WTSP is scheduled to utilize the Jackson-Madison County General Hospital located at 620 Skyline Drive in Jackson, TN 38301, phone number (731) 541-5000, for all forensic examinations. Although five sexual abuse allegations were reported during the review period, none involved sexual penetration and/or required the completion of a forensic medical examination. An interview was conducted with the Jackson-Madison County General Hospital Emergency Room Charge Nurse. He confirmed although SANE is not regularly scheduled during each shift, a SANE is always on-call and normally reports to the hospital within an hour of being notified. He added, in such circumstances a SANE is not available, the examination would be performed by other qualified medical practitioners.

115.21(d) TDOC established a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center. The final approval signature was noted as September 9, 2015. The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center have since changed its name to The Shelby County Crime Victims & Rape Crisis Center (CVRCC). Per interviews with the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator and Director of CVRCC, the MOU remains in effect. The CVRCC's Director confirmed services available to victims of sexual abuse includes: 1) Accompaniment during forensic medical exam; 2) Accompaniment during investigatory interviews and court proceedings; 3) Emotional Support services; 4) Crisis intervention; 5) Information; and 6) Relevant referrals. She continued in stating these services are provided to the victim at the hospital, via phone and/or on site at the CVRCC. She stated the CVRCC answers the PREA Hotline 24/7/365 from inmates within the WTSP and staff provides information, support, and referral to anyone reporting sexual violence while confined. The listener only releases the information provided by the inmate upon being given permission by the inmate himself. She added due to staff shortage, the center is not available to report to the correctional facility at this time, but services continue to be available via phone. Only upon approval by the inmate can the information he provided be released to the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator via the Central Office PREA Tip.

The WTSP Chief Counselor has been assigned as the WTSP Victim Advocate. A copy of his Certificate of Completion upon attending the Sexual Assault Advocacy, Working with Male Sexual Assault Survivors, and The Neurobiology of Trauma and Sexual Assault was presented for review. The six-hour course was presented by the W.R.A.P. An interview with the WTSP Facility Victim Advocate confirmed his awareness of his responsibility to serve as such. Information identifying the facility's

victim advocate is posted on all inmate bulletin boards and on departmental bulletin boards accessible to the staff and the inmate population. He completed this training in 2018 and has not been requested to provide services as a victim advocate.

An interview with the WTSP PCM, confirmed the available services of a victim advocate by both the CVRCC and the assigned facility victim advocate. She added this information is available to inmates and staff as it is posted on all bulletin boards accessible to all on bright yellow paper. The name of the available sources such as the CVRCC, contact number, mailing address and the facility's victim advocate identity and position is also posted for viewing. The information is also documented in the inmate handbooks. The WTSP PCM indicated that although victim advocate services are available and visible to the inmate population, these services have not been requested to the best of her knowledge.

Three inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse during the review period was interviewed. Neither allegation alleged sexual penetration. The inmates stated they did not request to speak with a victim advocate.

115.21 (e, f, h) TDOC Index 502.06.3 indicates a PREA victim advocate shall be made available to the alleged victim, when requested, to accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination and the investigation process. In addition to the review of the MOU between WTSP and the CVRCC and the assignment of a WTSP facility victim advocate, interviews with the CVRCC and facility victim, the auditor also reviewed the CVRCC's agency's website for confirmation of the available victim advocate services offered. The Center acknowledges free medical forensic seam, conducted by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE), services by a Crime Victim Advocate, free and confidential counseling to help them manage the emotional impact of an assault by trained CVRCC counselors, an offer to assist victims understand and cope with the range of emotions that often follow sexual victimization. Additionally, the CVRCC advocates are available to walk alongside the victim throughout the justice system. If the case is prosecuted, advocates will help victims navigate the court process and stay updated on case developments. These services were also identified as available to the inmate population by the CVRCC Director.

The WTSP facility victim advocate confirmed the six-hour Sexual Assault Advocacy course presented by the W.R.A.P. provided educational training on his responsibility to serve as a victim advocate.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, established MOU between TDOC, WTSP and the CVRCC, interviews with the Jackson-Madison County General Hospital Emergency Rom Charge Nurse, CVRCC Director, WTSP facility victim advocate, inmates who reported sexual abuse, random staff, investigative staff, and Warden, review of available services offered to the inmate population in the inmate handbook, and flyers on bulletin boards, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.22 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigation and SART
- 3. Review of PREA Investigative Case Files
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent
- b. Warden
- c. Agency Head Designee

115.22(a)(b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "It is the policy of the TDOC to investigate all PREA sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations in a timely, efficient, and confidential manner. The facility shall coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of alleged sexual abuse or harassment among staff first responders and Sexual Assault Response Team (SART), which includes medical and behavioral health practitioners, institutional investigator, and facility leadership. TDOC conducts both administrative and criminal investigations. Interviews with the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent in Charge indicated normally the initial investigation began on the same of the reported allegation. An Office of Investigations and Compliance Institution Investigator is assigned at all TDOC correctional institutions to conduct administrative investigations and the Office of Investigation and Conduct Special Agents conduct all criminal investigations. All PREA allegations are required to be documented and uploaded in the PREA Allegation System (PAS) within 24 hours of being reported. These investigations shall be conducted within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. The audit review period was scheduled for February 1, 2021, through January 31, 2022. There were 11 reported PREA allegations during this period. A review of the PREA Allegation Report identifies all 11 were completed as an administrative investigation by Facility Investigative staff.

Per an interview with the Agency Head Designee, TDOC ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The TDOC policy mandates that an entry be made in the PREA Allegation system (PAS). The PAS is used to track the steps in the investigation and the results. Policy also mandates all investigations are completed even if the offender transfers facilities or the staff member abruptly quits. If a PREA allegation is made, an investigation is completed and documented in the PAS. Both types of investigation are completed in the same manner initially by the OIC Institution Investigator. After the initial response of separating and securing the victims, securing the scene, and collecting, both the victim and aggressor are interviewed. Corroborating evidence is sought, and a determination is made regarding the level of allegation. If the allegation could possibly be a criminal case, the case is referred to the OIC Special Agent for additional review and prosecution if applicable.

TDOC Index #502.06.2 identifies the PREA Allegation System (PAS) as a computer application located the TDOC intranet that is used to enter all inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The auditor reviewed the PREA Allegation System tracking log and elected to review each of the 11 investigation case files that included allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The 11 PREA investigations was concluded with the following investigative findings:

- 1 inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse case = 0 substantiated; 0- unsubstantiated; 1- unfounded
- 1 inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment case = 0 substantiated; 0- unsubstantiated; 1- unfounded.
- 2 staff-on-inmate sexual abuse = 0 substantiated; 1 unsubstantiated; 1 unfounded.
- 7 staff-on-inmate sexual harassment = 0 substantiated; 1 unsubstantial; 6 unfounded.

The auditor reviewed the most recent Substantiated sexual abuse case completed in September 2016. The staff member was referred for criminal prosecution and was convicted on the charge of "Sexual Contact with Inmate" and sentence to the TDOC for a maximum sentence of 1 year and 0 days. This investigation was completed by the TDOC OIC Special Agent with assistance from the OIC Institution Investigators.

The auditor reviewed the TDOC website at www.Tennesseedepartmentofcorrections. TDOC included a section regarding

investigation of sexual assault and sexual misconducts. The department is dedicated to producing quality investigations of alleged sexual abuse incidents. All investigators receive specialized training specific to sexual assault in correctional institutions. The TDOC Law Enforcement Unit, in consultant with the department legal office, aggressively refer substantiated cases of sexual assault by offenders or employees to the local district attorney's office for criminal prosecution.

(c) TDOC is responsible for conducting all sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations. Therefore, this provision is not applicable.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, interviews with TDOC Agency Head Designee, Warden, OIC Investigators, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.31 Employee training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
- 3. TCA PREA Training Lesson Plans
- 4. PREA Training Documentation Learning Management System (LMS)
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP Training Specialist
- b. Random staff
- c. Specialized staff

115.31(a) TDOC Index 502.06 states, "The Tennessee Correction Academy (TCA) will be responsible for the development and distribution of the course lesson plans annually. All lesson plans or materials utilized for pre-service and in-service training on inmate sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be approved by State-wide PREA Coordinator and TDOC General Counsel. The TDOC Academy Program Curriculum course code GEN-4-18 Lesson Title PREA Inmate Sexual Abuse/Assault is a two-hour course developed for both pre-service and in-service. The course includes lectures and guided group discussion. Course objective includes course objective the PREA of 2003, definitions, inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation, understanding the dynamics of sexual abuse/sexual harassment in confinement, vulnerable populations, detecting signs of sexual abuse/harassment and the appropriate reporting response, how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates, effective professional communication with inmates to include lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming and reporting of PREA allegations to outside authorities.

115.31 (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06 states, "Such training shall be tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility. The employee shall receive additional training if the employee is reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa." Training is designed for officers to be able to function in both female and male facilities. WTSP houses male inmates; however, staff may transfer to any facility in the system. TDOC utilizes the TDOC Academy Program Curriculum course code GEN-4-18 Lesson Title PREA Inmate Sexual Abuse/Assault was developed for both staff assigned to work with both male and female inmates.

The lesson plan covers the 10 topics specified in this provision as noted: 1) TDOC policy on zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and /or sexual harassment; 2) Staff responsibilities under TDOC policies on sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 3) inmate's rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 4) the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation form reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; 5) the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement; 6) the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; 7) how to detect and respond to signs of threatened, suspected, or reported sexual abuse; 8) how to avoid in appropriate relationships with inmates; 9) how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates; 10) how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities...

Four hundred thirty -six were identified as employed at WTSP during the review period and completed PREA training as required in the provision of this standard.115.31 (a). All new hires are required to complete PREA training during their orientation in Day 1 CORE Training prior to having contact with the inmate population.

115.31(c) (d) The Department shall provide each employee with refresher training annually to ensure that all employees know the Department's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures. In-service training is scheduled to be completed within each fiscal year. TDOC fiscal year begins on July 1st of each year while ending on June 30th of each year. Documentation of the completed PREA training is maintained in the computer-generated Learning Management System (LMS).

TDOC Index 502.06 states, "The TCA Department and facilities shall document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received using Employee PREA Training Acknowledgement, CR-3965. An interview was conducted with the Acting WTSP Training Specialist assigned to ensure all staff complete required training timely. He indicated new staff are required to complete the PREA training prior to being allowed contact with

inmates. Additionally, all staff are required to complete refresher PREA training annually. The completion of PREA educational training is scheduled and monitored by the training staff and logged in to the LMS.

WTSP PAQ indicates that 100% of staff have received PREA training. The LMS roster contains the required training of all TDOC employees that includes contract staff such as Centurion, TRICOR and Aramark. The auditor requested and received an LMS computer generated roster of staff completion of PREA training. This computer-generated noted staff completion of PREA training to include for the fiscal year of 2020 and 2021. As the WTSP and Women Therapeutic Residential Center (WTRC) was originally one facility prior to 2016, the two facilities are identified as one in fundings and other organizational identification in regard to various shared operational services that includes the LMS training program. Therefore, the LMS listed includes staff assigned to both facilities.

The annual in-service training for fiscal year 2020/2021 PREA training was conducted on Day 2 CORE Training. The PREA is scheduled as a two-hour course.

WTSP identified 436 current employees who may have contact with the inmate population and reported 26 new hires during the review period. The auditor requested a copy of the LMS that documents the completion of staff training and was utilized to generate the requested information. The LMS captures the completion of TDOC employees and contract staff training. The program also denotes staff that have not completed the required training for the period requested. Upon submission of the LMS copy of PREA training, staff provided justifications for those employees who were noted as "incomplete." The justifications included: 1) staff not yet scheduled; 2) staff terminated; 3) staff rescheduled due to extended leave to include military; and 4) staff identified as decreased. The LMS system closes out on June 30th of each year. Staff who are on extended leave to include sick and military training are required to complete all required annual training to include PREA prior to returning to duty that includes contact with the inmate population.

100% of random staff and specialized staff interviewed confirmed the completion of the TDOC PREA training during preservice and/or annually during in-service containing all information required by this provision. As of September 2019, seasoned staff are authorized to complete in-service PREA training on-line. However, during interviews with 19 random staff, contract staff and specialized staff, all staff acknowledged attending PREA training during pre-service and/or during inservice. Staff selected for interviews were presented with a variety of scenarios. All staff spoke with confidence and were competent in their responses regarding their understanding of the received PREA training that included each provision of the standard.

Based on the review of policies, training lesson plans, training records, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated it "Does Meet" all provisions of the standard. The facility provides refresher PREA training for employees annually rather than every two-year requirement. Additionally, PREA education is posted on bulletin boards throughout the institution. All staff to include security, non-security, contractor and volunteers are issued PREA refresher cards that are attached to their identification badge with refresher training in staff's responses to reported allegations of sexual abuse.

115.32 Volunteer and contractor training

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
- 3. TDOC Volunteer Services Power Point Presentation
- 4. PREA Training records and Rosters
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP Chaplain
- b. WTSP Training Specialist
- d. Contractors
- e. Volunteers

115.32 (a), (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06 states Each facility shall ensure that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under TDOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection ad response policies and procedures. Volunteers shall receive their PREA training in accordance with Policy #115.01. Training acknowledgement for volunteers and contractors shall be documented through signature, on CR-3965, notating that they understand the training received.

Contract staff attend the Non-Academy Pre-service Orientation training for new employees and attend annual in-service training with TDOC staff in Day 1 CORE Training. Contractors employed at WTSP during the on-site were identified as the following: 74 medical and mental health (Centurion), 9 TRICOR (Industries) and 15 Aramark (food service). Completion of this training is documented in the Learning Management System (LMS) that is maintained by the facility's training specialist. A copy of the documented training was presented for review. Interviews were conducted with contract staff assigned to medical, mental health and food service. All was familiar of their responsibility under TDOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures and requirement to report to the shift commander immediately. The two medical contract staff, one mental health contract staff and one contract food service staff was knowledgeable of TDOC policy against sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment and how to report it. Confirmation of their PREA training was included in the LMS report.

In-service training is scheduled to be completed within each fiscal year. The fiscal year begins on July 1st of each year. Staff who are on extended leave to include sick and military training is required to be completed prior to July 1st. The LMS system closes out on June 30th of each year. The LMS roster contains the required training of all TDOC employees and contract staff such as Centurion and Aramark. The auditor requested and received an LMS computer generated roster of staff completion of PREA training to include for 2020 and 2021. The computer generated roster contains PREA training for all TDOC and contract staff to include medical, mental health, food service and TRICOR.

The assigned facility's Religious Services Chaplain is responsible for conducting the orientation training to include PREA training to volunteers. One hundred twelve volunteers provide services within the religious services program. PREA training is presented to new volunteers during their initial orientation. Additionally, the WTSP Chaplain explained PREA training is also presented annually and quarterly as needed. The Chaplain maintains individual files within his office that contain each volunteer's confirmation of PREA training. A roster of all volunteers was requested for a random selection of 15 confirmation of PREA training through documentation. The review confirmed all selected volunteers print and signed their name while noting the date of the received training on the TDOC Volunteer Confidentiality and Policy Agreement Training Certification (CR-2935). Specifically, each volunteer acknowledged reviewing and understanding the policies and training provided that included TDOC 502.06 Prison Rape Elimination Act Implementation and Compliance and TDOC 502.06.2 Prison Rape Elimination Act Allegations, Investigations, and Sexual Abuse Response Teams. Upon signing the training certification, each volunteer acknowledged they agreed to abide by these policies and all other TDOC policies during their tenure as a volunteer for the TDOC. Volunteers also documented their completion and understanding of the PREA training received on the CR-3965. A copy of the TDOC Volunteer Services Lesson powerpoint presentation was provided to auditor for review that included a session of PREA

Interviews were conducted with three religious services volunteers who provide services at both the WTSP and Women's

Therapeutic Residential Center. All acknowledged receipt of PREA training by the Religious Services Chaplain and was extremely knowledgeable of their responsibility upon becoming aware and/or informed of a PREA allegation by an inmate and/or through observation. Each reported they were trained on their responsibility under TDOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures. They continued in stating, they would immediately notify the nearest security staff member and/or shift commander and maintain a visual on the alleged victim.

Based on the review of policies, review of confirmation of PREA training for both contractor and volunteers via the LMS and/or individual volunteer signatures, review of PREA lesson plans, interviews with contract staff, volunteers and Religious Service Chaplain, and the WTSP Training Specialist, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
- 3. TDOC Volunteer Services Power Point Presentation
- 4. PREA Training records and Rosters
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP Chaplain
- b. WTSP Training Specialist
- d. Contractors
- e. Volunteers

115.32 (a), (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06 states Each facility shall ensure that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under TDOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection ad response policies and procedures. Volunteers shall receive their PREA training in accordance with Policy #115.01. Training acknowledgement for volunteers and contractors shall be documented through signature, on CR-3965, notating that they understand the training received.

Contract staff attend the Non-Academy Pre-service Orientation training for new employees and attend annual in-service training with TDOC staff in Day 1 CORE Training. Contractors employed at WTSP during the on-site were identified as the following: 74 medical and mental health (Centurion), 9 TRICOR (Industries) and 15 Aramark (food service). Completion of this training is documented in the Learning Management System (LMS) that is maintained by the facility's training specialist. A copy of the documented training was presented for review. Interviews were conducted with contract staff assigned to medical, mental health and food service. All was familiar of their responsibility under TDOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures and requirement to report to the shift commander immediately. The two medical contract staff, one mental health contract staff and one contract food service staff was knowledgeable of TDOC policy against sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment and how to report it. Confirmation of their PREA training was included in the LMS report.

In-service training is scheduled to be completed within each fiscal year. The fiscal year begins on July 1st of each year. Staff who are on extended leave to include sick and military training is required to be completed prior to July 1st. The LMS system closes out on June 30th of each year. The LMS roster contains the required training of all TDOC employees and contract staff such as Centurion and Aramark. The auditor requested and received an LMS computer generated roster of staff completion of PREA training to include for 2020 and 2021. The computer generated roster contains PREA training for all TDOC and contract staff to include medical, mental health, food service and TRICOR.

The assigned facility's Religious Services Chaplain is responsible for conducting the orientation training to include PREA training to volunteers. One hundred twelve volunteers provide services within the religious services program. PREA training is presented to new volunteers during their initial orientation. Additionally, the WTSP Chaplain explained PREA training is also presented annually and quarterly as needed. The Chaplain maintains individual files within his office that contain each volunteer's confirmation of PREA training. A roster of all volunteers was requested for a random selection of 15 confirmation of PREA training through documentation. The review confirmed all selected volunteers print and signed their name while noting the date of the received training on the TDOC Volunteer Confidentiality and Policy Agreement Training Certification (CR-2935). Specifically, each volunteer acknowledged reviewing and understanding the policies and training provided that included TDOC 502.06 Prison Rape Elimination Act Implementation and Compliance and TDOC 502.06.2 Prison Rape Elimination, and Sexual Abuse Response Teams. Upon signing the training certification, each

volunteer acknowledged they agreed to abide by these policies and all other TDOC policies during their tenure as a volunteer for the TDOC. Volunteers also documented their completion and understanding of the PREA training received on the CR-3965. A copy of the TDOC Volunteer Services Lesson powerpoint presentation was provided to auditor for review that included a session of PREA

Interviews were conducted with three religious services volunteers who provide services at both the WTSP and Women's Therapeutic Residential Center. All acknowledged receipt of PREA training by the Religious Services Chaplain and was extremely knowledgeable of their responsibility upon becoming aware and/or informed of a PREA allegation by an inmate and/or through observation. Each reported they were trained on their responsibility under TDOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures. They continued in stating, they would immediately notify the nearest security staff member and/or shift commander and maintain a visual on the alleged victim.

Based on the review of policies, review of confirmation of PREA training for both contractor and volunteers via the LMS and/or individual volunteer signatures, review of PREA lesson plans, interviews with contract staff, volunteers and Religious Service Chaplain, and the WTSP Training Specialist, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
- 3. TDOC Volunteer Services Power Point Presentation
- 4. PREA Training records and Rosters
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP Chaplain
- b. WTSP Training Specialist
- d. Contractors
- e. Volunteers

115.32 (a), (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06 states Each facility shall ensure that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under TDOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection ad response policies and procedures. Volunteers shall receive their PREA training in accordance with Policy #115.01. Training acknowledgement for volunteers and contractors shall be documented through signature, on CR-3965, notating that they understand the training received.

Contract staff attend the Non-Academy Pre-service Orientation training for new employees and attend annual in-service training with TDOC staff in Day 1 CORE Training. Contractors employed at WTSP during the on-site were identified as the following: 74 medical and mental health (Centurion), 9 TRICOR (Industries) and 15 Aramark (food service). Completion of this training is documented in the Learning Management System (LMS) that is maintained by the facility's training specialist. A copy of the documented training was presented for review. Interviews were conducted with contract staff assigned to medical, mental health and food service. All was familiar of their responsibility under TDOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures and requirement to report to the shift commander immediately. The two medical contract staff, one mental health contract staff and one contract food service staff was knowledgeable of TDOC policy against sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment and how to report it. Confirmation of their PREA training was included in the LMS report.

In-service training is scheduled to be completed within each fiscal year. The fiscal year begins on July 1st of each year. Staff who are on extended leave to include sick and military training is required to be completed prior to July 1st. The LMS system closes out on June 30th of each year. The LMS roster contains the required training of all TDOC employees and contract staff such as Centurion and Aramark. The auditor requested and received an LMS computer generated roster of staff completion of PREA training to include for 2020 and 2021. The computer generated roster contains PREA training for all TDOC and contract staff to include medical, mental health, food service and TRICOR.

The assigned facility's Religious Services Chaplain is responsible for conducting the orientation training to include PREA training to volunteers. One hundred twelve volunteers provide services within the religious services program. PREA training is presented to new volunteers during their initial orientation. Additionally, the WTSP Chaplain explained PREA training is also presented annually and quarterly as needed. The Chaplain maintains individual files within his office that contain each

volunteer's confirmation of PREA training. A roster of all volunteers was requested for a random selection of 15 confirmation of PREA training through documentation. The review confirmed all selected volunteers print and signed their name while noting the date of the received training on the TDOC Volunteer Confidentiality and Policy Agreement Training Certification (CR-2935). Specifically, each volunteer acknowledged reviewing and understanding the policies and training provided that included TDOC 502.06 Prison Rape Elimination Act Implementation and Compliance and TDOC 502.06.2 Prison Rape Elimination Act Allegations, Investigations, and Sexual Abuse Response Teams. Upon signing the training certification, each volunteer acknowledged they agreed to abide by these policies and all other TDOC policies during their tenure as a volunteer for the TDOC. Volunteers also documented their completion and understanding of the PREA training received on the CR-3965. A copy of the TDOC Volunteer Services Lesson PowerPoint presentation was provided to auditor for review that included a session of PREA

Interviews were conducted with three religious services volunteers who provide services at both the WTSP and Women's Therapeutic Residential Center. All acknowledged receipt of PREA training by the Religious Services Chaplain and was extremely knowledgeable of their responsibility upon becoming aware and/or informed of a PREA allegation by an inmate and/or through observation. Each reported they were trained on their responsibility under TDOC's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response policies and procedures. They continued in stating, they would immediately notify the nearest security staff member and/or shift commander and maintain a visual on the alleged victim.

Based on the review of policies, review of confirmation of PREA training for both contractor and volunteers via the LMS and/or individual volunteer signatures, review of PREA lesson plans, interviews with contract staff, volunteers, and Religious Service Chaplain, and the WTSP Training Specialist, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

Inmate education Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review): 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance 3. TDOC Index 103.10.1 LEP Policy

- 4. PREA Hotline signs (English and Spanish)
- 5. WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook
- 6. PREA Sexual Assault Awareness Brochure (English and Spanish)
- 7. Inmate TDOC Orientation Acknowledgement Forms
- 8. Observation on site
- 9. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP PCM
- b. Intake Staff
- d. Random inmates

115.33(a)(b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06 states that all inmates entering the TDOC system shall receive verbal and written information concerning sexual abuse within 24 hours of intake. Each facility shall take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The PAQ indicated there were 3160 inmates admitted to the facility during the 12-month review period. After further review by TDOC staff and review of the incoming logs, it was determined this number was incorrect. Specifically, the previous number of 3160 included inmates for both the WTSP and the Women Therapeutic Residential Program and all incoming inmates to include those who returned from medical and court appearance. The correct number of incoming inmates for WTSP during the 12-month review was later identified as 786. These inmates were identified as those whose length of stay in the facility was longer than 30 days during the review period.

An interview with a counselor who is assigned to conduct risk screening during the Intake Process. The intake process was explained as upon arrival the inmate receives an orientation package, this package includes the facility inmate rules and regulations handbook, visitation handbook, and are shown the PREA video titled "PREA: What You Need to Know." This information is presented to all new admissions to include those inmates transferring from other TDOC facilities. These inmates also attend an Orientation Class with their assigned counselors where detailed instructions are reviewed to include how to report PREA. Upon the completion, inmates sign and date the CR-2110, TDOC Orientation Acknowledged from. The auditor observed this process during the on-site visit.

Thirty - eight formal and 10 informal interviews with the inmate population indicated they received educational PREA information via the pamphlet, handbook and/or observation of the PREA video upon their arrival at the facility during intake and/or orientation with their counselor. The inmates also mentioned the PREA information that is posted on the bulletin boards and signage on the walls, and on every telephone providing them with PREA information and how to report PREA allegations.

The auditor randomly selected 91 inmates that included new arrivals and included transfers from other TDOC facilities during the review period for confirmation of received PREA education within 30 days of arrival. Documentation supported confirmation of inmates' participation in observing the PREA video, receiving a TDOC Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook, that contains additional PREA education, and a PREA brochure. A review of the inmates' arrival date at the

facility confirmed the inmate acknowledged receipt of the various PREA education material on the day of arrival and/or the following day after arrival to the facility.

115.33 (d) (e) TDOC 502.06 states Each facility shall take appropriate steps to ensure inmates with disabilities (include inmate who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities) have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of facility's effort to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Facility staff shall ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities, including inmates who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skill, or who are blind or have low vision.

The Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan section II A, Orientation/Classification Assignment and Hearing: The Counseling Service Team will evaluate the ability of the offender to understand without an interpreter. If it is evident that the offender's knowledge of the English language is insufficient, then interpretation services shall be provided.

The 16-minute PREA video titled "PREA: What You Need to Know" is played in the intake area upon the inmate's arrival throughout the intake process and is available in both English and Spanish. The video is also played with a closed captain for inmates who are hard of hearing, and/or have low vision. The facility Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook is available in both English and Spanish in addition to all other PREA resources that includes posters, information to report PREA allegations via telephone and mail, and the issued PREA pamphlets. The WTSP Associate Warden (T)/PREA Coordinator also provides translation services for LEP inmates in the Spanish language. Confirmation of his services were documents in the Contact Notes of the inmate's files. The WTSP Associate Warden (T)/PREA Coordinator also provided translation services during the auditor's interviews with two LEP inmates.

TDOC Index 502.06 states, "The Department shall provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates who have limited reading skills. Interpreter services are available and documented in Contact Note LCDG. The auditor selected 91 random inmates for confirmation of PREA education. Completion of the training for each inmate was acknowledged by their signature on the TDOC Orientation Acknowledge forms. The completed forms are maintained in each inmate's dividual file and is documented in the E-TOMIS. This information is shared with the inmate population on their day of arrival during the intake process. The auditor conducted 38 formal inmate interviews and 10 informal interviews and all acknowledged receiving PREA education and identified the PREA posters and information accessible for viewing throughout the facility on bulletin boards, walls, and the inmate telephones.

115.33(f) PREA information was observed to be continuously and readily available to the inmate population throughout the facility. PREA signage containing hotline contact information and sexual abuse information was noted as being posted throughout the institution. Signage was observed to be available in English and Spanish. Inmates are provided with personal copies of PREA brochures and the facility's Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook that contains additional PREA education and internal and external reporting resources available to the inmate.

Based on the review of policies, observation of the intake process and presentation of PREA education provided to the inmate population, observation of PREA posters, review of inmate handbook, PREA pamphlet, PREA video and continuous PREA education through posters, interviews with staff and the inmate population, and confirmation of inmates' signature acknowledging receipt of PREA education on the TDOC Acknowledge forms, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

L15.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1.WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigation, and SART
- 3. TDOC Index 107.01 Office of Investigation and Conduct Unit Authority, Responsibility, Personnel Selection and Training
- 4. Documentation of Specialized Training for Agency Investigators
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. Office of Investigations and Conduct (OIC) Special Agent
- b. OIC Institution Investigator

115.34(a) The TDOC employs investigative staff responsible for conducting both administrative and criminal investigations. The investigative staff are assigned to the Office of Investigations and Conduct. The OIC Institution Investigators are authorized to conduct administrative investigations only. Special Agents and Special Agents in Charge within the OIC are authorized to conduct both administrative and criminal investigations.

TDOC 107.01 states: All newly selected OIC Special Agents will attend and successfully complete at a minimum, an accredited law enforcement academy (e.g., Tennessee Correction Academy, Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, Memphis Police Academy, Walter State Community College, etc.) An interview was conducted with the OIC Special Agent assigned to the facility. He acknowledged his completion of law enforcement training through the Tennessee Correction Academy and his position as a sworn law enforcement officer for TDOC. He began his career with the Tennessee Law Enforcement Agency as a Police Officer in 2005. As a sworn law enforcement officer with the TDOC Office of Investigations and Conduct, he is authorized to conduct all TDOC investigations to include both administrative and criminal cases for prosecution. He has also completed the following online courses through the National Institute of Corrections, "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting" and "PREA: Investigations."

The OIC Institution Investigator indicated he has held the position of the OIC Institution Investigator at WTSP for 8 years. In addition to completing the required training per the standard provision, he has gained extensive experience in conducting administrative PREA investigations and assisting the OIC Special Agents in conducting criminal investigations.

115.34(b) The OIC Special Agent indicated specialized training for the OIC Special Agents is mandatory and completed through the Tennessee Police Training Academy, Tennessee Bureau of Investigations, and the Tennessee Law Enforcement Academy as the OIC Special Agents are sworn law enforcement officers for TDOC. The OIC Special Agent stated the training courses he received as a Special Agent and sworn law enforcement officer far exceeds the provisions of the standard. However, the completed on-line courses of "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting" does include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and sexual abuse evidence collection within a confinement setting in gathering sufficient evidence for both administrative investigations and/or criminal prosecution as appliable.

The OIC Institution Investigator confirmed topics of the training completed through the National Corrections of Institute also includes interviewing techniques, use of the Garrity warning, evidence collection and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. He added, if a case is lending toward criminal charges, the Special Agent in Charge notifies the Special Agent who conducts the investigation and he aid throughout the investigative process.

Certificate of completion for the online course "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting" was also presented for the WTSP OIC Institution Investigator and the Internal Affairs Investigator. The OIC Institution Investigator was identified as the investigative staff for each of the 5 sexual abuse allegations that was concluded as an administrative investigation. Although one staff on inmate sexual abuse case was determined as Substantiated, there were no criminal activities identified.

The OIC Special Agent, OIC Institution Investigator and Internal Affairs Investigator were identified as being assigned to both the West Tennessee State penitentiary (WTSP) and Women's Therapeutic Residential Center (WTRC).

115.34(c) The Department shall maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations. The auditor reviewed training certificates of completion for the OIC Special Agent, OIC Institution Investigator, and the WTSP Internal Affairs Investigator's specialized training titled "PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting."

Based on the review of the PREA investigative case files, investigative training completed by the assigned investigators, and interviews with the investigative staff, WTSP meets all provisions of the standard.

115.35 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education and Compliance
- 3. TDOC Index 502.06.3 Medical, Mental Health, Victim Advocacy, and Community Support Services for PREA Victims
- 4. PREA Resource Center Lesson Plan Specialized Training for Medical/Mental Health Care Standards
- 5. Medical and Mental Health Staff Training Records
- 6. Interviews with:
- a. Behavioral Health Administrator
- b. Health Services Administrator
- c. Director of Nurses

115.35(a) TDOC Index 502.06 states all full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in the facility shall be trained in: how to prevent, detect, and respond to acts of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Medical and mental health staff are contract employees who must complete the agency's PREA training and the specialized training PREA: Medical and Mental Care curriculum to be presented by a designated supervisory instructor within the department. The policy states all full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioner who work regularly in the facility shall be trained in: (a) How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (b) How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; (c) How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; (e) This training shall be documented on the TDOC training Roster, CR-2245, and copies provided to the facility training specialist. The Specialized Training: PREA Medical, and Mental Care Standards Notification of Curriculum Utilization issued by the National PREA Resource Center is the training tool by the medical and mental health providers. A copy of the 100 pages lesson plan was presented for review by the auditor. This information covers four modules (1) Detecting and Assessing Signs of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment; Module 2: Reporting: Module Effective and Professional Responses; Module 4 – The Medical Forensic Examination and Evidence Preservation. The staff are given a post-test after training that is divided into the 4 modules.

115.35(b) The agency nor the facility conducts forensic medical exams. All forensic examinations are performed off-site at a local medical facility, Jackson-Madison County General Hospital located at 620 Skyline Drive in Jackson, TN.

115.35(c) (d) The auditor reviewed training records identifying medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31. Per the WTSP Training Specialist, all medical and mental health staff are required as other TDOC staff to attended and passed the TDOC PREA training. Course documentation is also maintained by the WTSP Training Specialist in the Learning Management System (LMS) and by the Medical and Mental Health supervisors. An interview with the Behavioral Health Administrator, Director of Nurses, and Health Services Administrator, confirmed staff within the departments are required to complete PREA training through their contracting agency Centurium and the TDOC PREA Specialized training for Medical and Mental Health. The Behavioral Health Administrator was identified as certified to present the training course. The Specialized training is required once but the PREA training is required to be completed annually through the LMS and was documented as such.

Based on the review of policies, the Specialized Training: PREA Medical, and Mental Care Standards Notification of Curriculum Utilization issued by the National PREA Resource Center identified as the training tool for medical and mental health providers and training confirmation of training, completion of PREA training for standard 115.32, and interviews with medical and mental health staff, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.1 PREA Screening, Classification, and Monitoring
- 3. PREA Screening System Application
- 4. Completed Risk Screenings
- 5. Department of Corrections PREA Intake Spreadsheets
- 6. Interviews with:
- a. Staff who conduct PREA Risk Screening
- b. Intake Staff
- c. Random and Targeted Inmates
- d. TDOC -State-wide PREA Coordinator

115.41(a) TDOC Index 502.06.1 establishes policy and assigns responsibilities for screening individuals housed in a correctional facility under the authority of the TDOC staff. Staff are to assess the risk of the individual being sexually abused or being sexually abusive towards other inmates. The agency uses the PREA Screening System Application located on the TDOC intranet to assess an inmate's risk of sexual victimization and risk of sexually abusing other inmates. A random sample of 91 inmate PREA Screening System forms was selected for review. 100% of the sample was screened using the PREA Screening form. The initial risk screening assessment is completed upon arrival to WTSP by the intake staff. Interviews with the counselors confirmed the PREA Screening System is utilized to conduct screening for the risk of sexual victimization and abusiveness. Overall inmates interviewed reported being asked questions related to the PREA Screening System form. Additionally, the inmate population reported being whether they had ever been sexually abused by medical staff during the intake process.

115.41(b) TDOC Index 502.06.1 directs that classification or unit management teams from diagnostic classification units will interview and evaluate all inmates for sexually aggressive/victim tendencies utilizing the PREA Screening System Application within 72 hours of arrival at a facility. The PAQ indicated there were 3160 inmates were admitted to the facility during the 12month review period. After further review by TDOC staff and review of the incoming logs, it was determined that this number was incorrect. Specifically, the previous number of 3160 included inmates for both the WTSP and the Women Therapeutic Residential Program and all incoming inmates to include those who returned from medical and court appearance. The correct number of incoming inmates for WTSP during the 12-month review was later identified as 786. However, all inmates who depart the facility for 24 hours or more are re-screened by staff within 72 hours of their return. Seven hundred and eighty-six inmates were also identified has those who were admitted to the facility and whom length of stay was 30 days or more. This information was confirmed as being monitored by the Chief Counselor and collected monthly via a Department of Corrections PREA Intake Spreadsheet who maintain monthly logs of all incoming inmates. This spreadsheet is a tracking log of inmates' date of arrival, transport reason, initial facility screening date, staff completing the 48-hour review of initial screening, rather or not the initial screening was completed within 72-hours of the inmate's arrival, staff who completed the 15 day review for 30 day re-screening and completed by whom, 30-day PREA risk screening re-assessment screening date completion, confirmation of completed within 30-days, and date and reason inmate was referred to mental health such as an aggressor, victim of prior sexual abuse, and those at risk of being sexually abused. Staff presented the completed PREA Intake Spreadsheet forms for each of the 12-month review period. Per interviews with staff who conduct intake and risk screening, the incoming chain often arrive late during the evening hours with the arriving inmates. On these occasions, the inmates are screened the following morning. This was confirmed via review of the PREA Intake Spreadsheet. A random review of 91 inmates risk screening for each of the 12-month review period revealed all inmates were screened with 72 hours of their arrival. There were no discrepancies noted. The Chief Counselor forwards the monthly reports to the Facility PCM, Associate Warden (T)/ PREA Coordinator, and the TDOC Central Office State-wide PREA Coordinator for review of compliance with TDOC policy and provisions of this standard. The auditor conducted interviews with 38 inmates that

included both random and targeted group inmates who arrived within the past 12-months. Responses varied on recalling being asked questions identified within the standard provision.

115.41(c) (d) TDOC Index 502.06.1 requires authorized users to utilize the PREA Screening System Application located on the TDOC intranet. User security access to this system is authorized by the Associate Warden of Treatment. The PREA Screening System Application form is the agency-approved standardized screening instrument. The PREA Screening form assigns a numerical point value to questions regarding risk of victimization and risk of abusiveness categories. The form considers the 10 separate inmate risk of victimization factors and risk of abusiveness factors noted in this provision. Each risk factor is assigned a numerical point value based on the information obtained from an interview with the inmate and information from the inmate's record. The determination of an inmate being identified as a prior victim of sexual abuse, at risk of sexual victimization and/or aggressor of sexual abuse is automatically generated within the program based on the inmate's responses to the various questions asked noted on the PREA Screening form. Although inmates are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes with the TDOC, this information is included in the PREA Screening System Application for response.

115.41(e) The PREA Screening System Application factors considered in the risk of abusiveness category include prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and a history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse. The instrument also considers a history of violent crimes including pending and current charges and a history of domestic violence including pending and current charges. A review of the PREA Screening System Application revealed that it does consider all the criteria required by this provision. Specifically, the screening application considers factors that identifies an inmate as being as aggressor, victim, both, and/or neither. Questions includes: Whether the inmate is a former victim of institutional (prison or jail) sexual abuse; Whether the inmate has mental, physical, or development disability; The age of the inmate (24 or younger or elderly, 60 or older); The physical build of the inmate (5'5" and/or less than 150 pounds); Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated; Whether the inmate criminal history is exclusively non-violent; Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; Whether the inmate is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming; Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purpose; Prior acts of sexual abuse; Prior acts of violent offenses; and History of prior institution violence. Based on the screening information provided by the inmate and the prior review of the incoming inmates criminal history, the inmate is identified as a sexual aggressor, sexual victim, at risk of sexual abuse, one or more and/or neither. The determination of an inmate being identified as a prior victim of sexual abuse, at risk of sexual victimization and/or aggressor is automatically generated within the program based on the inmate responses to the various questions asked from the PREA Screening form.

The auditor observed the intake process of arriving inmates during the on-site visit. Staff who conduct risk screening, medical staff and mental health are notified of the incoming chain upon arrival and report to the Intake area. The intake process began within minutes of the inmate's arrival. The auditor observed the PREA risk screening conducted by the Intake Counselor. Staff utilized the PREA Screening System Application form to conduct the risk screening and was provided with responses for each question by the interviewed inmate. Additionally, each inmate was asked if they had been sexually abused by medical staff during the medical intake process.

115.41(f) TDOC Index 502.06.1 requires unit management staff to re-assess each inmate within 30 days of the inmate's arrival at the facility using the PREA Screening Application. A revised number of 786 inmates were identified as those who were admitted to the facility whom length of stay was for 30 days or more. Staff incorrectly identified the count as 1659/1501 in the PAQ upon submission. Staff who conducts risk screening indicated counselors assigned to each of the housing units conducts the 30-day follow-up re-assessments for inmates assigned to their unit. Per interviews with the Chief Counselor, each counselor maintains a transport roster to monitor incoming inmates for review and completion of inmates 30-day risk re-assessments. The Chief Counselor identified the facility does not have a set date in which the re-assessment is be conducted but they required to be completed not later than within 30- days of the inmate's arrival. The review of the random 91 inmate screening forms confirmed the vast majority of 30-day re-assessment were completed between 21 and 25 days after the inmate's arrival and all were completed prior to 30-days of the inmate's arrival.

115.41(g) TDOC Index 502.06.1 requires unit management staff to re-assess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or potential for abusiveness. The PREA Screening System Application is utilized to conduct all re-assessment. A review of the 91 randomly selected PREA risk screenings revealed all inmates were re-assess by the appropriate staff not later than 30 days of the inmate's arrival and/or initial risk assessments. Staff identified additional risk assessments are conducted upon receiving additional and/or new information to include additional criminal charges, information of sexual abuse prior to incarceration and/or a substantiated sexual abuse investigations and identification of being a victim of sexual abuse and/or an aggressor in addition to during annual re-classification. He added inmates identified as transgender and/or intersex are re-classified bi-annually.

115.41(h) TDOC Index 502.06.1 states inmates will not be disciplined for refusing to answer or disclosing complete information in response to screening questions. Staff who perform risk screening reported inmates are not disciplined for refusing to respond or for not disclosing complete information. They also stated they have never had an inmate who refused

to cooperate and respond to the questions as they explain to the inmate population the accurate information is needed to provide a safe environment for them. During inmate interviews, none reported being disciplined for refusing to answer the PREA risk screening questions asked by staff.

115.41(i) TDOC Index 502.06.1 indicates screening information is strictly need-to-know basis. Access to the PREA Screening System Application is controlled through authorized user security access. Unit Management staff will ensure screening information is entered in the PREA Screening System Application. Per the State-wide PREA Coordinator, Counselors have access to conduct the risk assessments but cannot review the responses in E-TOMIS after the input is upload. The counselors can only observe the inmate's score once uploaded. Per staff assigned to conduct risk screening indicated the information is only shared with others on a need-to-know basis to provide the appropriate services. Staff with access to the PREA risk screening information is limited to staff who conduct PREA risk screening such as counselors, the Chief Counselor, and TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator. The count room officer and Inmate Job Coordinator only receive notification of incompatible assignments upon attempting to assign bed assignments and/or job assignments while these entries are not accepted.

Per an interview with the State-wide PREA Coordinator, a weekly report "Monitoring Due Report" is automatically forward to each TDOC institution via E-TOMIS that is automatically generated to the facility PCM, Associate Warden (T), Chief Counselor and himself. The State-wide PREA Coordinator receives a master copy of reach TDOC facility. The monitoring of victims and aggressors are conducted every 90 days for a minimum of 12 months. The State-side PREA Coordinator must authorize approval prior to the removal of each inmate. The Counselors meets with each inmate during the 90-day review period and make contact notes in the Offender Management System. The Counselors' reviews the inmates' work history, education program assignments, and housing. The victim may request removal prior to 12 months of completion however, this option of removal from monitoring is not available to an aggressor. Negative conduct, additional sexual abuse allegations are some of the circumstances that may prolong an aggressor monitoring to extend beyond 12 months.

The TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator has established a monitoring tool to ensure the inmate population is screened for their initial 72-hour PREA risk screening and 30-day re-assessments in accordance with TDOC policy and the PREA standard 115.41. The random review of 91 inmates PREA risk screening and the monthly Department of Corrections PREA Intake Spreadsheets documents the facility's compliance with all standard provisions of completing the initial and follow-up risk screening. Additional monitoring is completed every 90 days for a minimum of 12-months on all inmates identified as victims of sexual abuse and those identified as aggressors which exceeds the standard provisions. Therefore, WTSP exceeds all standard provisions for 115.41.

115.42 Use of screening information Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.1 PREA Screening, Classification, and Monitoring
- 3. TDOC Index 113.37 Gender Dysphoria
- 4. TDOC Index 112.08 Personal Hygiene Resources for Inmates
- 5. Re-Classifications for Transgender Inmates
- 6. PREA Screening System Application factors
- 7. Contact Notes within Inmate Files
- 8. Housing Assignments

Interviews with:

- a. Agency Head Designee
- b. Random Staff
- c. Staff Assigned to Conduct Risk Screening
- d. Inmates Identified as Transgender and Gay

115.42(a) TDOC Index 502.06.1 states, "Decisions concerning individual housing assignments and group activities for inmates who enter TDOC and identifies as a sexual aggressor or prior sexual victims are under the supervision the unit management/Associate Warden/Deputy Superintendent/Designee. Housing, cell assignments, work, education, and program assignments shall be made with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually aggressive.

The PREA Screening System Application factors considered in the risk of abusiveness category include prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and a history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse. The instrument also considers a history of violent crimes including pending and current charges and a history of domestic violence including pending and current charges. A review of the PREA Screening System Application revealed it does consider all the criteria required by this provision. Specifically, the screening application considers factors that identifies an inmate as being as aggressor, victim, both, and/or neither. Questions includes: Whether the inmate is a former victim of institutional (prison or jail) sexual abuse; Whether the inmate has mental, physical, or development disability; The age of the inmate (24 or younger or elderly, 60 or older); The physical build of the inmate (5'5" and/or less than 150 pounds); Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated; Whether the inmate criminal history is exclusively non-violent; Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; Whether the inmate is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming; Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purpose; Prior acts of sexual abuse; Prior acts of violent offenses; and history of prior institution violence. Based on the screening information provided by the inmate and the prior review of the incoming inmates criminal history, the inmate is identified as a sexual aggressor, sexual victim, at risk of sexual abuse, one or more and/or neither. The determination of an inmate being identified as a prior victim of sexual abuse, at risk of sexual victimization and/or aggressor is automatically generated within the program based on the inmate responses to the various questions asked from the PREA Screening form.

Staff who perform screening reported inmates at risk of victimization are separated from inmates at risk of abusiveness based on the risk assessment score. Those who are at risk of victimization cannot be housed with those who are at risk of abusiveness. Upon the Count Room Officer attempting to assign inmates identified as a prior victim of sexual abuse and/or those identified at risk of sexual abuse with an inmate identified as an aggressor, staff immediately receive an alert that these inmates are labelled as incompatible and the e-TOMIS system will not allow the requested assignment.

115.42(b) TDOC Index 502.06.1 Decisions concerning individual housing assignments and group activities for inmates who enter TDOC and are identified as Sexual Aggressors or Sexual Victims are the responsibility of the unit management team. This information is strictly on a need-to-know basis and housing, cell assignments, work, education and program

assignments shall be made with a goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually aggressive. If behavioral health intervention is indicated, a referral shall be made in accordance with Policy #113.82, utilizing Institutional Health Services Referral, CR-3431. No inmate will be double celled until the required screening has been completed. Inmates who are deemed sexual aggressors or sexual victims will be appropriately housed until assessed by behavioral health professionals or classification. Once an inmate is identified as a Sexual Aggressor or Sexual Victim at any time during his/her incarceration, the inmate shall be evaluated for appropriate housing and programs.

115.42(c) TDOC Index 502.06.1 states decisions to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates and in other housing and programming assignments are made on a case-by-case basis. TDOC Index 113.37 states Facility and housing assignments shall be made on a case-by-case basis and documented on pages 1 and 2 of the PREA Housing and Program Review, CR-4086, considering the patient' health and safety, as well as potential management and security concerns. An inmates' own views regarding safety shall be solicited and considered. Inmates who have completed surgical sexual reassignment therapy prior to incarceration shall be placed in a correctional facility as determined by the Gender Dysphoria, Transgender, Transsexual, Intersex, and Gender Non-Conforming Accommodation Review Committee. For the purposes for facility placement, self-inflicted genital mutilation does not constitute surgical sexual reassignment therapy and does not qualify an inmate for placement in a facility for opposite-gender inmates.

115.42(d) (e) TDOC Index 502.06.1 indicates that placement for inmates identified as transgender or intersex shall be considered on a case-by-case basis. Theses identified inmates shall be reclassified every six months by the assigned counselor to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate. The inmate's own views with respect to his safety shall be given serious consideration. There were four inmates identified as transgender and no inmates identified as intersex designated to WTSP during the 12-month audit review period. Three inmates identified as transgender departed WTSP prior to the on-site visit. The remaining inmate was interviewed during the on-site visit.

Transgender #1. The initial PREA risk screening was conducted on the inmate's date of arrival, March 2, 2021. A 15-day follow-up risk assessment was conducted on March 25, 2021. The inmate was transferred on April 16, 2021, to a TDOC contract facility.

Transgender #2. The inmate's arrival and initial 72-hour PREA risk assessment was completed on May 22, 2021, contact notes indicate the inmate met with counselors on May 24, 2021. A 15-day re-assessment was documented as completed on June 2, 2021, and the 30-day PREA screening is noted as completed on June 8, 2021. The inmate was noted as re-class and transferred to another TDOC facility on November 16, 2021.

Transgender #3. The inmate's arrival and initial 72-hour PREA risk assessment was completed on January 14, 2021. A 15-day follow-up PREA risk screening was completed on January 25, 2021, and additional screening was conducted on January 27, 2021. Contact notes within eTOMIS documents the inmate received additional reclassifications on March 4, 2021, March 8, 2021, April 5, 2021, June 23, 2021, and September 15, 2021. The inmate was transferred to another TDOC facility on October 28, 2021.

Transgender #4. The inmate arrived at WTSP on March 14, 2022, and the initial 72-hour PREA risk screening was completed on March 15, 2022. The 30-day re-assessment was completed on April 7, 2022. Quarterly and/or bi-annual reclassifications were not applicable due her arrival date at WTSP.

TDOC Index 502.06.1 indicates that a transgender or intersex inmate's own view with respect to their personal safety shall be seriously considered. A review of the contact notes indicated staff who performed the transgender's risk screening included conversations with the inmates while allowing the inmate to provide input on their own views with respect to their personal safety in housing and the facility. The two inmates identified as transgender were not housed in the same housing units. The inmates' counselor was interviewed and reported the transgender inmates' own views of safety is considered which was confirmed in the contact notes during the various screening, and re-class. Interviews with counselors who perform risk assessment screening, random and specialized staff denied any knowledge of inmates identified as intersex ever being designated at WTSP.

115.42 (f) TDOC 112.08 states Transgender and intersex inmates shall be given the opportunity shower separately from other inmates. Inmates will be able to shower, perform bodily function, and change clothes without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing them, except in circumstances that require immediate actions. The one inmate identified as transgender acknowledged his placement in the Special Management Unit (SMU), was due to his disciplinary history not based on his status as transgender. He indicated due to all showers within the SMU are in an individual secured area, he has not requested to shower at a separate time from other inmates. Interviews with security staff and counselors identified the previous assigned transgender inmates were allowed to shower at separate times from other inmates, specifically during count time when other inmates were assigned to their cells.

115.42(g) TDOC Index 113.37 Gender Dysphoria states, "Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates may not be placed in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely based on such identification or status. The auditor requested housing assignments of the three transgender inmates previously assigned at WTSP, inmate identified as gay, and currently housed

transgender. Documentation of housing and bed assignments confirmed these inmates were not placed in dedicated housing units. This determination was confirmed during interviews with both inmates. There were zero inmates identified as intersex and/or bi-sexual. WTSP is a male facility and does not house female inmate (lesbian). Per an interview with the Associate Warden (T)/ Facility PREA Coordinator, inmates identified as gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex would not be placed in dedicated housing units. TDOC is not pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement in the housing of inmates.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, documents to include transgender inmates housing assignments, re-classification, contact notes submitted by staff who conduct risk screening, interviews with staff, inmates identified as transgender and gay, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.43 Protective Custody

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. Interviews with:
- a. Warden
- b. Staff Who Supervise Segregation

115.43(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states any use of restrictive housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements set forth in this policy and coordinated by the unit management team. Protective Services Investigative Routing, CR-3241 shall clearly indicate the basis of concern for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. This housing assignment shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. The PAQ noted that there have been zero inmates held in involuntary segregated housing in the past 12 months. An interview with the Warden revealed, he was aware of the requirements pertaining to the placement of inmates at high risk of sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing, but this practice is not appliable to WTSP. The facility does not use involuntary segregated housing for inmates determined to be at a high risk of victimization. An interview with staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing and the WTSP Warden confirmed the facility does not use involuntary segregated housing for inmates who are identified at a high risk of victimization. Alternate housing arrangements would be made. Per staff who supervise segregation and the Warden if the alleged aggressor is known, the aggressor would be placed in segregation pending the investigation and /or transferred to another housing unit and/or another institution if needed.

115.43(b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states that inmates placed in restrictive housing for this purpose shall have access to programs, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. If inmate access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities is restricted, the facility shall document what opportunities have been limited, the duration of the limitation, and the reasons for such limitations. This shall be documented on LCDG Contact Notes. An interview with staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing indicated no restrictions are imposed on inmates placed in segregation except for work opportunities. The inmate would have access to education (GED program), legal aid, minimum of 1 hour outside recreation in covered and secured recreation areas with telephone access. The auditor conducted a tour of all restricted housing units at WTSP and conducted interviews with inmates assigned to each restricted housing unit. Review of staff visiting logs, inmate logs, documentation and interviews with inmates assigned confirmed all inmates have access to legal and leisure material, phone calls, mail, education, medical, mental health services, recreation, meals, and access to staff. Due to the necessary security measures required within the restricted housing units, work opportunities are limited and/or not available based on the status of the inmates.

115.43(c) The PAQ noted no inmates were held in involuntary segregated housing for longer than 30 days in the past 12 months awaiting completion of assessment. The Warden indicated involuntary segregated housing is not used for inmates at high risk for sexual victimization. However, the inmate may request protective custody if he elects. However, due to the physical layout of the housing units, security measures and restricted access throughout the facility, alternate housing is available outside of involuntary segregated housing. If the aggressor cannot be identified other general population housing is available for the inmate identified as at risk and/or identified as a victim.

115.43(d) An interview with the Warden dedicated the facility has not utilized involuntary segregated housing for inmates who are determined to be at a high risk of victimization and this process has not been utilized during the 12-month review period. However, policy does provide guidance in TDOC Index 502.06.2 which states if an extension is necessary, the SART member shall clearly document in the PREA Allegation System application the basis for concern for the inmate's safety; the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged; and the need for emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse, or sexual harassment, or for cooperation with investigations. The Warden indicated based on the physical layout of the facility and restricted interaction amongst the inmate population, alternate housing is available as needed to provide a safe environment for a victim of sexual abuse and involuntary segregation would not be utilized.

115.43(e) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states every 30 days, the facility shall afford each such inmate a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. In an interview with the Warden, he was aware of the requirement for 30 days reviews, however, the facility would not utilize involuntary segregated housing for an inmate who has been identified at a high risk for sexual victimization if the aggressor can be identified. Interviews with staff

assigned to supervise segregation and the OIC Institution Investigator indicated the alleged victim would remain on the compound and the alleged abuser would be place in segregated housing pending an investigation. There were no inmates placed in involuntary segregation based on being identified at a high risk of victimization.

Based on the review of policies, documents, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.51 Inmate reporting Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review): 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. PREA Tip line Posters
- 4. Memorandum from Warden to All Staff
- 5. PREA Posters
- 6. Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook
- 7. MOU with Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Crisis Center
- 8. Interviews with:
- a. Random staff
- b. Random and Targeted Inmates
- c. Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Crisis Center Director
- d. Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Crisis Center 24/7 Listeners

115.51(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states the Department shall provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse. These include but are not limited to: (a) Reporting directly to staff; (b) Facility PREA Tip Line; (c) Third-party reporting; or (d) Written communication. Interviews with random and specialized staff identified these methods as accessible to the inmate population to report PREA allegations.

Methods of reporting PREA allegations are posted on PREA posters throughout the facility to include inmate program areas, housing units, inmate dining, education, medical, visitation, recreation, work assignment areas, and on inmate telephones in addition to the inclusion in the inmate handbook. Formal and informal inmate interviews indicated all inmates were aware of the available reporting options. They acknowledged PREA posters and signage on the facility's walls throughout identifying the PREA Hotline is accessible by calling *9222 and/or *9555 and noted the PREA Hotline as the most common response.

115.51(b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states the Department shall provide at least one way for inmates to report abuse or harassment to an outside governmental entity that is not affiliated with the agency or is operationally independent from agency leadership. This information shall be made available through the Inmate Handbook.

A review of the WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulation Handbook identifies resources outside the facility to report PREA allegations. The handbook states: "To report incidents of sexual abuse to an outside resource you may call *9555. (The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center, 1750 Madison Ave #102, Memphis TN 38104). This call will be confidential and TDOC will NOT record the call. The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center also offers victim advocacy services. Mail is a valid outlet for PREA communications with an outside agency. All mail identified as containing a PREA Allegation to an official or organization shall be treated as legal mail. All mail forward to The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center 1750 Madison Ave #102 Memphis, TN 38104 shall be treated as legal mail."

The WTPS addressed a memorandum all staff identifying mail as a valid outlet for PREA communication within an outside agency while stating "All mail identified as containing a PREA Allegation going to an official or organization shall be treated as legal mail. All mail this sent to The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center, 1750 Madison Ave, #102, Memphis, TN38104 shall also be treated as legal mail." An interview was conducted with the WTSP mailroom staff who confirmed all outgoing mail identified as legal and/or noted to an agency related to reporting PREA allegations, would be documented as outgoing mail but would not be screened. An interview with the WTSP PCM confirmed the identified avenues as methods of external reporting resources for inmate reporting PREA allegations.

TDOC established and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center that was signed on September 9, 2015. Per the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator and the Shelby County Crisis Center Director, the MOU

remains in effect. The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center has changed is official name since the established MOU. The facility's is now identified as the Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Crisis Center. The center agrees to (1) Maintain confidentiality of survivors of sexual violence who are incarcerated at Mark Luttrell Correctional Complex and West Tennessee State Penitentiary. (2) Maintain available crisis counseling through organization's crisis hotline at any time and/or 24 hours a day. Said counseling may take place in person nor by telephone. 3) Maintain confidentiality as outlined in CVRCC confidentiality policy; 4) Provide training for MLCC and WTSP staff; and 5) Communicate any question for concerns to the TDOC State PREA Coordinator and/Statewide Inmate PREA Victim Advocate.

The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center Director stated her organization has agreed to receive reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment from inmates at WTSP that includes the Women's Therapeutic Residential Program as an external reporting entity. The reporting responsibility is part of the MOU established with TDOC and the facility. The organization is the largest rape crisis center in the Mid-South Region, and it has PREA MOUs with most all correctional facilities in the area. Inmates can remain anonymous, upon request when making a report. When authorized by an inmate to release the reported information, only then does she report it to the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator through the TDOC PREA Tip Line. She has been employed at the center since 2017 and has received less than 5 reported allegations from the inmate population. These reported allegations included inmate sexual harassment and inmate sexual abuse. Upon an inmate authorizing CVRCC staff to report the incident to TDOC, the CVRCC staff obtain as much information as possible, to include the inmate's name, identification and location of the assault, in addition to all other information the inmate is willing to share. At that point, the information is immediately reported to the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator.

An interview was conducted with two CVRCC staff who accept calls via the Hotline (*9555). Both stated the Hotline is manned 24/7 and a listener is always available to speak directly with the caller. Both stated although the center can receive and immediately forward inmates reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to the TDOC facilities, the callers must give authorization for staff to release the information prior to contacting the facility.

WTSP does not house inmates who are detained solely for civil immigration purposes. Thirty-eight formal inmates were conducted. The inmate population was familiar with both PREA Hotline numbers internal *9222 and external *9555 but had not utilized the numbers.

115.51(c) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing and all staff are required to report immediately to their supervisor any knowledge, suspicion, or information, anonymously, and third parties. All allegations shall be documented within 24 hours of becoming known to facility staff in the PREA Allegations System (PAS). Random staff interviews stated inmates could report sexual abuse or sexual harassment either verbally, in writing, anonymously, and via third parties. Staff indicated they would document verbal reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment immediately and definitely prior to the end of their shift. A review of the completed 11 PREA investigative case files confirmed the investigative case files included written statements made by inmates who reported PREA allegations, emails forwarded to WTSP from third parties, summaries of calls made through the PREA Hotline, grievances filed by the inmate population, and statements made by staff. The OIC Institution Investigator included within the summary of the investigative reports the method that each allegation was reported.

115.51(d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that staff may privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates to the Central Office PREA Tip Line (615-253-8178). Interviews with 20 random and targeted staff indicated they were knowledgeable in how to privately report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Most staff cited the PREA hotline and/or directly reporting to a supervisor in person or through a private phone call as primary methods to make a private report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Based on the review of policies, on-site visit, PREA posters, PREA investigative case files, memorandums, PREA Hotline number posting on inmate telephones and throughout the facility, inmate handbook, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 501.01 Inmate Grievance Procedures
- 3. Interviews with:
- a. OIC Institution Investigator
- a. Grievance Sergeant
- b. Inmate Population

115.52(a) TDOC Index 501.01 indicates the agency has an administrative remedy program that may be used to file an allegation of sexual harassment or sexual abuse. It states an inmate may submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse at any time. An interview was conduct with the Grievance Sergeant who is responsible for the collection of grievances, monitoring, tracking and ensuring proper responses are returned to the inmate population. She maintains separate logbooks for accurate accountability and monitoring of PREA allegations from other grievances. f. She added she is assigned as the Grievance Sergeant for both the WTSP and Women' Therapeutic Residential Center due to the position is a shared service between both facilities.

Per a conversation with the Grievance Sergeant, upon receiving a grievance alleging PREA allegations, she immediately calls the OIC Institution Investigator, Associate Warden (T)/WTSP PREA Coordinator, Internal Affairs Investigator informing them verbally of the reported PREA allegation and forward the grievance to each via email for an immediate investigation.

115.52(b) TDOC Index 501.01 states applicable time limits shall apply to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse. An inmate may submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse at any time. Applicable time limits shall apply to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.

The policy also notes an inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the compliant and such grievance shall not be referred to a staff member who is the subject of the compliant.

A review of the 11 report PREA investigative case files confirmed there were 0 allegations of sexual abuse reported and/or filed through the grievance process. However, two allegations of sexual harassment were reported through the grievance process. The 2 allegations were logged into the PAS by the OIC Institution Investigator for an investigation completion. Those staff identified within the inmates' grievance was not involved in the submission, investigation process and/or response to the grievance. A review of the grievance process taken by the Grievance Sergeant and OIC Institution Investigator did not indicate an attempt by staff to informally resolve the grievances filed with the inmates. This determination was supported by the email threads and the completed investigations.

115.52(c) TDOC Index 501.01 states that an inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the compliant and such grievance shall not be referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. A review of the 2 PREA allegations reported through the grievance process confirmed the investigations were completed by the OIC Institution Investigator and not a staff member identified as involved by the reporting inmate.

Per the PAQ, review of the PREA investigative case files and interviews with the Grievance Sergeant, and OIC Institution Investigator, there were 2 reported PREA allegations reported through the grievance process as identified in the following.

An allegation of staff on inmate sexual harassment was received on March 24, 2021. The investigation was concluded on April 5, 2021.

An inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment allegation was received through the grievance process on October 25, 2021. The investigation was concluded on December 7, 2021.

115.52(d) TDOC Index 501.01 states a final decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse shall be issued by the Associate Warden of Treatment within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance. Computation of the 90-day limit shall not include time used by inmates in preparing the grievance. The inmate shall be notified by the Associate Warden of Treatment if an extension is needed. At any level of the grievance if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted to reply, including any extension, the inmate may consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level. All grievances in regard to PREA allegations are automatically forward for an investigation by the OIC Institution

Investigator. There were 0 allegations of sexual abuse reported through the grievance process during the 12 -month review period. However, a review of the 11 PREA investigative case files confirmed 2 allegations of sexual harassment was filed through the grievance process. The review confirmed 1 sexual harassment allegation was completed on the 12th day of being received. The second sexual harassment allegation was completed on 17th day of being received.

115.52(e) TDOC Index 501.01 states third parties shall be permitted to assist inmates in filing grievances related to allegations of sexual abuse and shall also be permitted to file such grievances on behalf of the inmate. If a third-party file such a grievance on behalf of an inmate, that inmate shall agree to have the grievance filed and document such on the Inmate Grievance form. The inmate shall be required to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the grievance process. The inmate may decline to have the grievance processed on his/her behalf and the decision shall be documented on the original Inmate Grievance. A review of the 11 PREA investigative case files, confirmed the 2 sexual harassment allegations filed through the grievance process were submitted by the alleged victims of sexual harassment and not by a third-party.

115.52(f) TDOC Index 501.01 states after receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the grievance chairperson shall immediately forward the grievance to the Associate Warden of Treatment for any corrective action to be taken. The grievance chairperson will provide a response within 48 hours and a final decision within five calendar days. Per the PAQ, Grievance Sergeant and OIC Institution Investigator, in addition to a review of the 11 completed PREA investigative case files, 0 allegations of sexual abuse were reported as an emergency grievance and/or due to an inmate being subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Per the OIC Institution Investigator, all allegations of sexual abuse to include an inmate alleging to be subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse would be investigated immediately, all necessary measures to protect the inmate would be initiated. The initial response and final decision would be documented and maintained within the PREA Allegation System (PAS) that includes the facility's determination as to whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse while noting the action taken in response to the emergency grievance.

115.52(g) TDOC Index 501.01 states an inmate may be disciplined for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse only when it is demonstrated that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith. An interview with OIC Institution Investigator explained that although policy allows disciplinary sanctions of inmates who file the grievance in bad faith, no inmates have received disciplinary actions for this action.

Random interviews with the inmate population confirmed their knowledge of the availability to report PREA allegations through the grievance process. Although most inmates were not aware of the emergency procedures for filing as being at risk of imminent sexual abuse, they did acknowledge that felt their allegation would be properly investigated. The auditor observed mailboxes identified as "Grievance" in all housing units for the issuing of grievances. Per an interview with the Grievance Sergeant, the grievance boxes are checked Monday – Friday just as all outgoing mail. Inmate grievances procedures are noted in the WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook and are posted on the PREA posters. Grievance boxes are available in all housing units for inmates to submit such.

Based on the review of policies, PREA investigative case files, grievances filed, responses to the grievances, interviews with staff and inmate population, and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.3 Medical, Behavioral Health, Victim Advocacy, and Community Support Services for PREA Victims
- 3. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 4. TDOC MOU with Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Center
- 5. WTSP Rules and Regulations Handbook in English and Spanish
- 6. Posted Memorandums
- 7. Posting of Hotline Numbers
- 8. Interviews:
- a. Director of The Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Center
- b. Medical and Mental Health Staff
- c. Random Inmates
- d. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse
- 115.53 (a) (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06.3 notes Inmates Access to Facility and Outside Confidential Support Services: (1) The name and contact information of the facility's Inmate PREA Victim Advocate shall be posted on each housing unit bulletin board. The facility shall ensure that inmates are provided access to outside victim advocate for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates the mailing address and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers, were available, of local, state, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organization and, for persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes, immigrant services agencies.
- (2) The Facility PREA Coordinator shall ensure that inmates are informed, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. The facility shall enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in a confidential manner as possible.
- (3) The TDOC shall attain memoranda of understanding (MOU) or other agreements with community services providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse. Memorandum of Understanding are to be approved by the TDOC General Counsel.

Upon each inmate's arrival to WTSP, he is given a WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook which outlines methods of reporting PREA allegations and staff responses to the report. The information is provided in English and Spanish. It states "To report incidents of sexual abuse to an outside resource you may call *9555. The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center, 1750 Madison Ave #102, Memphis, TN 38101. This call is confidential and TDOC will NOT record the call. The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center also offers victim advocacy services. Mail is a valid outlet for PREA communications with an outside agency. All mail identified as containing a PREA allegation going to an official or organization shall be treated as legal mail. All mail that is sent to Shelby County Rape Crisis Center 1750 Madison Ave #102 Memphis, TN 38104 shall also be treated as legal mail. Interviews with the inmate population indicated they were aware of the PREA Hotline *9555, as it is posted throughout the facility, however, they stated they were unaware of outside agencies as they have not required the services of one. Per interviews with three inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse, no reported incidents of sexual penetration and/or requesting services of a victim advocate.

WTSP does not house persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

TDOC #502.06.3 Unless otherwise precluded by federal, state, or local law, medical and behavioral health providers shall be required to report sexual abuse and shall inform inmates of the providers's duty to report, and the limitation of confidentiality, at the initiation of services. Medical and Behavioral Health providers shall obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in the institution al setting. Per interviews with the Health Services Administrator, Director of Nurses, and Behavioral Health Administrator, each acknowledged they advise the inmate population of their duty to report and require the inmates to sign an informed consent form prior to the initiation of services. Per the Behavioral Health Administrator, an inmate with continuous care is required to sign an informed consent form

annually.

TDOC has established a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Shelby County Rape Crisis Center signed by the TDOC Commissioner on September 9, 2015. Per the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator and the Shelby County Rape Crisis Center's Director, the MOU remains in effect and provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse.

An interview was conducted with the Director of The Shelby County Crime Victim and Rape Crisis Center, who confirmed her agency and TDOC has established and MOU to provide services for the facility. She added her agency has established 12 PREA MOU in the Mid-South Region and is the largest rape crisis center in the area. She indicated in an effort to provide inmates or residents of correctional facilities access to safe reporting and service have been a victim of sexual assault, all Tennessee correctional facilities, have a hotline/tip available that connects to the local rape crisis center. Upon learning a phone call is from an institution, front desk staff will inquire if the caller would like to speak to someone about a sexual assault that occurred the institution. If the caller agrees, he will be connected with a sexual assault victim advocate. Upon transfer of the call, CVRCC staff will provide basic crisis intervention (discussion of trauma reactions and grounding /self -care techniques for the victim) and advocacy options) whether they want to report the incident and what safety planning options are available to them). The victim is required to authorize staff to notify the third-party reporting line (TDOC PREA tip line 615-253-8178, as TDOC states all allegations of sexual abuse will be reported ot internal investigator for investigation. The CVRCC victim advocate will provide emotional support, and crisis intervention. Continuous victim advocate services are available via the Hotline and the victim may continue to receive services by one assigned victim advocate if requested. She indicated due to staff shortages, the agency isn't currently available to provide on-site visits at the facility, but all services are available 24/7 via the Hotline.

This contact information is available and visible to the staff and the inmate population via posters throughout the facility to include inmate job sites, education, housing units, hallways, visitation, food service, medical, mental health, inmate handbooks, and on the inmate bulletin boards.

Based on the review of policies, MOU, observation during site visit, interviews with Director of CVRCC, medical and mental health staff, random inmates and inmates who reported sexual abuse, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 506.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulation Handbook
- 4. Inmate Bulletin Board Notices
- 5. TDOC PREA Pamphlets
- 6. TDOC website
- 7. Third-Party Reported PREA Case Files
- 8. Interviews with:
- a. Formal and Informal Inmate Interviews
- b. JUST International Detention via E-mail
- c. The Shelby County Crisis Center Director

115.54(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, The Department shall provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. It indicates the facility PREA Tip Line and Third-party reporting as additional ways for inmates to report PREA allegations. The WTSP Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook, TDOC PREA pamphlets, and bulletin board notices, identifies resources accessible to the inmate population to report allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment to include *9555. This number is designated as the contact number for The Shelby County Rape Crisis Center, 1750 Madison Ave #102, Memphis, TN 38104. This call will be confidential and TDOC will NOT be record the call.

The auditor reviewed the agency's website at www.tn.gov/correction/sp/prison-rape-elimination-act.html. The website identifies the Agency's Response to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct Allegations that includes: Employee have a duty to report all rumors and allegations of sexual abuse through the chain of command; Institution Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) ensure alleged victims of sexual abuse receive immediate medical attention; The facility SART ensure alleged victims of sexual abuse receive a mental health evaluation; and All allegations of sexual abuse will be reported to Internal Investigations for investigation. In addition to the facility PREA Tip lines, TDOC has established a Tip line for third-party reporting of sexual abuse and sexual assault at (615) 253-8178.

A review of the 11 completed PREA investigative case files, revealed 4 PREA allegations were reported via third parties.

On February 22, 2021, an inmate reported an inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment allegation through the PREA Hotline. The investigative report was concluded on the same date of being reported, February 22, 2021.

The TDOC State-side PREA Coordinator received an email from an inmate's acquaintance from the community on June 6, 2021, through the Agency's website. The allegation was forwarded to the OIC Institution Investigator. The investigation was completed on June 9, 2021.

A third-party PREA allegation was reported by an inmate through the facility PREA Hotline on June 11, 2021. The investigation was completed on June 15, 2021.

On September 1, 2021, the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator received a letter from a third-party within the community through the Agency's website regarding an allegation of staff on inmate sexual harassment made by an inmate at WTSP. The investigation was concluded on September 15, 2021, by the OIC Institution Investigator.

Formal and informal interviews with inmates revealed most were aware that a third-party such as a family member, friend, or another inmate could report a PREA allegation on their behalf.

The auditor forwarded an email to the Just Detention International, regarding reported PREA allegations during the review period and was advised none had been received. A phone interview was conducted with the Shelby County Crisis Center Director who also identified the center has not received any reported allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment during the 12-month review period.

Based on the review of policy, Inmate Rules and Regulations Handbook, PREA investigative case files, TDOC website, communication with the Just Detention International and Shelby County Crisis Center, formal and informal inmate interviews, and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. Office of General Counsel Department of Human Service Inter-Office Correspondence
- 4. PREA Investigative Case Files
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP Warden
- b. TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator
- c. Random staff
- d. OIC Institution Investigator
- f. Medical and Mental Health Staff

115.61(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "All staff are required to report immediately to their supervisor any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of TDOC, retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. Interviews with staff indicated they are aware of their responsibility and duty to report any and all knowledge of PREA allegations. Staff carry a PREA refresher card on their badge with reporting guidelines. Interviews with 20 random staff indicated they would immediately report the incident to the Shift Commander and complete a documented report of their awareness to include from third-party as soon as possible and always prior to departing from their shift. Non-security staff identified they would report the information to their direct supervisor, the shift commander and/or the Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator. The review of the 11 completed PREA investigation case files confirmed documentation was included on how the allegation was reported to include written statements submitted by the reporting staff as applicable.

115.61(b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states staff shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. TDOC utilizes a PREA Allegation System (PAS) on their intranet and only approved selected staff have access. Staff interviewed was aware of the extent in which information of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment should be shared only with authorized staff necessary to make treatment, investigation and other security and management decisions. They confirmed they would not include such information within the logbooks accessible to viewing to all.

115.61(c) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, medical and mental health practitioners shall be required to report sexual abuse as outlined in this policy and to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services." Interviews confirmed medical and mental health staff are aware of their duties required by this provision and this information is shared with the inmate upon the initiation of services and their limitation of confidentiality and duty to report. Interviews were conducted with the Behavioral Health Administrator, Health Services Administrator and Director of Nurses. All indicated neither had been directly informed by an inmate he had been sexually abused to included prior to incarceration. However, all were aware of their requirement to report allegations of sexual abuse. All stated the inmate is informed of their duty to report at the initiation of services and at which time the inmate is required to sign a consent form.

115.61(d) Per interviews with the Warden, WTSP Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator and the TDOC State-Wide PREA Coordinator, the facility does not and has not housed youthful inmates (under the age of 18 years old). The average age range of inmates at WTSP are between the ages of 18 – 78 years old. Elderly/Vulnerable Abuse is regulated by Tennessee Code Title -71 – Welfare, Chapter 6- Programs and Services for Abused Persons, Part 1 -Adult Protection. The TDOC -State-wide PREA Coordinator presented an Inter-Office Correspondence previously distributed from the Office of General Counsel of the Department of Human Services. The memorandum was addressed to the Director of Human Resource regarding the requirement of Adult Protective Services (APS) to conduct investigations in correctional facilities. The memorandum summary concluded APS is not required to investigate allegations of abuse, neglect, or exploitation of persons in jails/correctional facilities.

The Office of Investigations and Conduct is part of the Tennessee Department of Corrections and conducts its own criminal as well as administrative investigations into cases of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Investigators who have received specialized training in conducing sexual abuse investigations in a confinement settings shall investigate all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment promptly, thoroughly, and objectively, including third-party and anonymous. The Investigative Unit Special Agents who are sworn law enforcement officers shall be contacted immediately when circumstances warrant further action pursuant to criminal findings. Additionally, when the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the Department shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution.

115.61(e) TDOC 502.06.2 states, Facility staff shall report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party, and anonymous reports, to the OIC Institution Investigator for an investigation. The auditor used a variety of scenarios regarding staff awareness of PREA allegations to include those reported via a third party within the community, an alleged victim, and/or an anonymous phone call during the interview process. All staff immediately responded that they would report the allegation to their immediate supervisor, Shift Commander, OIC Institution Investigator, and/or the Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator. Per the OIC Institution Investigator, and OIC Special Agent, all reported PREA allegations are conducted in the same manner regardless of how the incident was reported. A review of the 11 PREA investigative case files, confirmed allegations that were reported via the PREA Hotline, through a third party, via the grievance process, and/or directly by the alleged victim was thoroughly investigated and all available avenues of collecting evidence to determine the investigative findings were reviewed.

Based on the

review of TDOC policy, Office of General Counsel Department of Human Service Inter-Office Correspondence PREA investigative case files, interviews with random staff, medical and mental health staff, Warden, OIC Investigative Staff, and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review): 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART

- 3. PREA Investigative Case Files
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. Agency Head Designee
- b. Warden
- c. Random staff

TDOC Index 502.06.2 states "If facility staff receives information that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, staff shall take immediate action to protect the inmate. The auditor interviews with both random and specialized staff indicated they would remove the inmate from the area of threat immediately and/or remain with the inmate until the appropriate staff arrived to assist in the separation of inmates due to the custody level of some. They continued in stating they would immediately notify the Shift Commander. Staff to include contract and volunteers are issued and carry a PREA Refresher Training card on their badge which list the steps to take to protect an inmate. The facility stated in the PAQ that there has been no instance where an imminent threat of sexual abuse was reported and /or identified. Interviews with WTSP staff, contract staff and volunteers all reported they would ensure the safety of the inmate and remove him and/or retain him within their sight while contacting a security supervisor. The inmate would not be allowed to return to the area of threat.

An interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated TDOC has an immediate response system in place. Sexual safety is taken seriously by the agency. The first step would be to separate the at-risk individual from the potential risk and protect them from harm. There are specific areas of the compound that are designated as protective custody (PC). A protective custody investigation will be initiated, and any issues identified. The inmate will remain housing in PC until the risk is eliminated by a transfer of the potential threat or until the offender expresses, they are no longer fearful and want to return to the compound.

The Warden indicated all inmates are pre-screened when they arrive and annually to identify them as a prior aggressor of sexual abuse and/or a prior victim of sexual abuse. The inmate risk scoring is reviewed prior to every cell change in order to ensure inmates at risk of being sexual abused are not housed with inmates who are identified as prior aggressors of sexual abuse. In the case an inmate is identified as subject to sexual abuse, the inmate would immediately be removed from any area of area. This could include the removal of the threat if identified. Based on the circumstances, actions may include alternate housing as a possibility and/or an inmate would be transfer if deemed necessary.

There were no incidents reported where an inmate was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse that required immediate action from staff. A review of the PREA investigative case files, indicated the reported allegations of sexual abuse was reported to have occurred during prior days, weeks, and/or years.

Based on the review of policies, PREA investigative case files, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. PREA Investigative Case Files
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. TDOC Agency Head Designee
- b. Warden
- c. OIC Institution Investigator
- d. Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator

115.63 (a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden of the facility that received the allegation shall notify the head of the facility where the alleged abuse occurred. In interviews with the Warden and Associate Warden (T)/ Facility PREA Coordinator, the Facility Coordinator has been identified as the Warden's designee as authorized to make and receive reported sexual abuse and/sexual harassment notifications to and from other confinement facilities. The Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator indicated all notification would be made immediately to the inmate's previous confinement facility upon reporting the allegation to staff at WTSP.

Per the Agency Head Designee, the designated point of contact is the State-wide PREA Coordinator who in turn will notify the Warden, the Facility PREA Coordinator, and the OIC Institution Investigator. She added the TDOC routinely receive referrals from outside Rape Crisis Centers.

115.63 (b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that such notification shall be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. In an interview with the OIC Institution Investigator, he stated upon notification that an incident had previously occurred at MCCX, he would initiate an investigation of the reported allegations.

115.63(c) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states the facility shall document it has provided such notification. The OIC Institution Investigator indicated the notification would be made via email and telephone call. Interviews with the OIC Institution Investigator, Warden and Associate Warden (T)/ Facility PREA Coordinator identified there were zero inmates who reported to WTSP during the review period who reported allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment having occurred at another correctional facility upon their arrival and/or designation at WTSP.

115.63 (d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states "The Warden who receives such notification shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with TDOC policy. Interview with the Warden indicated that he would ensure the notifications are made and documented. Per the PAQ, review of 11 PREA investigative case files, an interview with the Warden, an investigation of the reported allegation would be completed. The Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator and OIC Institution Investigator identified receiving 2 notifications from other TDOC facilities of PREA allegations reported to have previously occurred during the inmate's designation at WTSP.

The TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator conducted an informal training to all TDOC Wardens and Associate Wardens (T)/Facility PREA Coordinators regarding the PREA standard of notification to other confinement facilities. Proper notification to confinement facilities was identified as being made by the Warden and/or his designee. The facility made the immediate change in their other confinement facilities notification of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment.

Upon an inmate's arrival at another TDOC facility on March 2, 2021, at 3:30 p.m., he reported previous occurrences of sexual abuse at WTSP between the years of 2016-2017. Notification was made by inmate's new facility's OIC Institution Investigator at 3:35 p.m., to the OIC Special Agent in Charge (SAC) who forwarded the information to the WTSP OIC Institution Investigator on March 2, 2021, at 3:57 p.m. The notification process did not include the facilities Wardens' and/or Warden's Designee but was made within one hour of the reported allegation. However, the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator informed all investigative staff, that the Warden and/or their designees are required staff per the standard provision to make and receive the notifications and a correction was made for the following notification.

On November 22, 2021, at 12:45 p.m., upon inmates' arrival at his new TDOC facility, he reported a previous incident of

sexual harassment having occurred at WTSP. Notification was made to the WTSP Associate Warden (T)/Warden's Designee from the inmates' newly arriving facility's Associate Warden (T)/Warden's Designee on November 23, 2021, at 2:56 p.m. Emails confirmed notification of the alleged sexual harassment was forward within 27 hours.

Based on the review of TDOC policy, review of PREA investigations case files, notifications via emails received from other TDOC facilities, confirmation of notification within 72- hours, interviews with staff and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.64 Staff first responder duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. WTSP Index 502.06.2-1 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 4. PREA Refresher Cards
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. Warden
- b. Security Staff as a First Responder
- c. Random staff

115.64(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 and WTSP Index 502.06.2-1 indicate that the first security staff on scene of an alleged sexual abuse shall separate the alleged victim and abuser. The security staff shall preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence. If the alleged sexual abuse occurred within a 72-hour period of reporting, the security shift supervisor who is notified of the allegation shall initiate the Sexual Abuse Incident Check Sheet, CR-3776. First responders' duties of an employee are also included in the WTSP Index 502.06.2-1. 1) As first responder to a PREA allegation he/she is to instruct the alleged victim not to take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and then immediately notify the shift commander. 2) Ensure the alleged victim does not remove clothing, shower, use restroom, brush teeth, eat or drink until examined by medical staff. 3) Immediately escort the alleged victim to medical examination. All staff including TDOC, volunteers and contract staff were observed in their possession a PREA refresher card attached to their identification card that included duties of a first responder.

There were 0 instances in which security and/or non-security staff served as a first responder where their duties required separating the alleged abuser and aggressor and containing crime scene evidence to include physical evidence collection.

An interview was conducted with a security staff member identified as a first responder. Per the interview, he acknowledged that although the incident was reported as sexual abuse, the allegation did not include sexual penetration and the alleged victim had previously relocated form the identified area and alleged aggressor. The security first responder stated he immediately notified his security supervisor who continued with further actions.

Interviews were conducted with three inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse. In all cases, inmates reported that staff immediately responded to their allegations and began gathering intelligence through interviews with the shift commander and the investigative staff. Upon review of the investigation, and the inmate's own admission, the investigations summaries did not include allegations of sexual penetration, genital, and/or touching of the chest/breast area. There was no physical evidence and or DNA samplings identified for collection.

115.64(b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, he/she is required to instruct the alleged victim not to take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and then immediately notify the shift commander. All staff interviewed, including non-custody staff, were aware of their responsibilities as first responders. All reported they would immediately separate inmates and maintain sight of a victim, do what they could to preserve a crime scene including advising involved inmates not to shower, change clothing, brush teeth, eat, drink, or use the toilet. All staff are trained as first responders and wear a pocket card on their badge that list the steps to take when responding to an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused. There were no security staff nor non-security staff who served as first responders where the alleged aggressor and alleged victim were separated.

The auditor reviewed 11 PREA investigative casefiles to included 5 reported allegations of sexual abuse. In each of these incidents the alleged victim had previously separated themselves from the alleged aggressor. In three incidents, the alleged victims stated they were unable to identify the alleged aggressors.

One allegation of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse was reported upon an inmate's arrival at his newly designated TDOC facility during the PREA risk screening. On November 22, 2021, an inmate alleged while assigned at WTSP between 2016 – 2017 he was sexually abused. The alleged victim did not identify an alleged aggressor. The investigative finding was determined as Unsubstantiated.

An inmate on mental health seclusion reported an allegation of staff on inmate sexual abuse having occurred prior to his placement in the mental health infirmary. The inmate was escorted to the medical department for a medical assessment. The inmate provided contradicting responses during the interview process with OIC Institution Investigator, and the investigative finding was determined as Unfounded.

A staff on inmate allegation of sexual abuse was reported has occurring several weeks prior to the inmate reporting the allegation via the PREA Hotline. During the interview process with the OIC Institution Investigator, the inmate refused to provide information regarding his allegation of sexual abuse while making a compliant of his listed telephone numbers not working properly. The inmate declined medical services. The investigative finding was determined as Unfounded.

An inmate submitted a note to a staff member stating he had been sexual abused. The inmate was escorted to the medical department for a medical assessment. He was immediately interviewed by the OIC Institution Investigator. The alleged victim did not provide an identification of the alleged aggressor. The OIC Institution Investigation conducted a review of the alleged crime scene area and determined the alleged victim reported a false sexual abuse allegation report. The investigation was determined as Unfounded.

Upon an inmates' transfer to his newly designated TDOC facility, he reported he was previously fondled by a staff member at WTSP during his designation there. He refused to provide sufficient information to assist investigative staff complete an investigation. The investigative finding was determined as Unfounded.

Based on the review of TDOC policies, PREA investigations, interviews with random staff, and inmates who reported sexual abuse allegations and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. WTSP Index 502.06.2-1 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
	115.65 WTSP Index 502.06.2-1 identifies the purpose of the policy is to establish standardized procedures in the reporting and investigations of all PREA allegations and role of Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART). The policy identifies the coordinated response upon an inmate reporting an allegation of sexual abuse. Procedures in the First Responders Responsibilities: 1) As first responder to a PREA allegation he/she is to instruct the alleged victim not to take any actions that could destroy physical evidence and then immediately notify the shift commander. 2) Ensure the alleged victim does NOT remove clothing, shower, use restroom, brush teeth, eat or drink until examined by medical. 3) Immediately escort the alleged victim to medical for an examination. Once the exam is complete, separation of the alleged victim and abuser must take place. 4) If the alleged incident occurred within the past 72 hours, the crime scene must be preserved and protected until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence. 5) Once ethe alleged victim is safe, the shift commander who is notified shall initiate the Sexual Abuse Incident Check Sheet, CR-3776. 6) After these steps have been completed, SART shall be notified.
	Once reported, all suspected and reported assaults will be entered under the PREA Allegations and investigated by WTSP OIC Institution Investigator. All suspected and reported misconduct to f a sexual nature will be investigated to rule out hidden assaults.
	Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and behavioral health providers, according to their professional judgment, in accordance with TDOC policies. Medical care should be limited to stabilizing the victim for transport. Medical and behavioral health providers shall follow operation protocols regarding evidence preservation. All inmates alleging to victims of a sexual abuse shall automatically be referred to behavioral health staff utilizing the referral process.
	Follow-up care for sexual abuse will be provided through ongoing medical and behavioral health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Follow-up care includes the evaluation and treatment of such victims including treatment plans, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody. Victims will be offered test for sexually transmitted infections and sexually transmitted infections. A behavioral health evaluation of all known inmate-on inmate abusers will be conducted within 14 days of learning of such abuse history and

offer treatment when deemed appropriate by a behavioral health provider.

Based on a review of the policy, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. Tennessee Code Annotated 50-1-207
	3. Interviews with:
	a. TDOC Agency Head Designee
	115.66 (a) TCA Code 50-1-207 states "Prohibition against requiring any employer or employee to waive their rights under the National Labor Relations Act or require acceptance or agreement to any provisions that are mandatory or non-mandatory subject of a collective bargaining under Federal law. The Agency Head designee reported there is a historical agreement at WTSP, but it does not grant protection for staff during any allegation of misconduct.
	Based on a review of the code, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Agency protection against retaliation Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. Retaliation Monitoring forms
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. Agency Head Designee
- b. Warden
- c. Staff charged with Monitoring Retaliation

115.67 (a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that inmates and staff who are involved in reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations shall be protected from retaliation by other inmates or staff. Appointed members of the facility SART shall monitor staff and inmates for protection from retaliation utilizing PREA Retaliation Review (Inmates) for inmates, CR-3963, and PREA Retaliation Review (Staff), CR-3982, for staff. The Associate Warden (T)/PREA Coordinator has been designated by the Warden to conduct staff and inmate retaliation monitoring at WTSP.

115.67(b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that the facility shall employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations. Per interviews with the Agency Head Designee and Warden, each stated there are multiple options available to protect inmates and staff from retaliation. The Agency Head Designee stated retaliation for cooperation is not tolerated in TDOC. If the individual is an offender, they would be granted protective custody status until the aggressor was removed from the compound and the retaliation could be addressed. If the individual is a staff member, steps are instituted to ensure that retaliation is recognized and addressed appropriately (i.e. termination of aggressor, staff transfer of retaliator, or re-assignment of retaliator).

Per an interview with the Warden, any retaliation towards a staff member and/or innate is prohibited and would be immediately addressed and result in the appropriate disciplinary action for inmates and staff in addition to termination for staff. Areas reviewed in determining acts of retaliation toward staff would be denial of requested leave, unusual change in shift assignments, scheduled days off, post assignment changes, discipline actions and write-ups. Regarding the inmate population, unjustifiable work assignment changes, changes in housing and/or bed assignments, disciplinary write-ups, and removal from approved programs by staff, and/or actions committed by other inmates to include being assaulted and/or loss of property. If there was an incident in which retaliation was suspected, he would ensure immediate information gathering by facility investigator and separation would be authorized, as necessary to protect the alleged victim to include transfer of the aggressor and/or victim if deemed appropriate.

Interviews were conducted with three inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse. In each of the cases, the investigation was concluded within two weeks of the reported allegation and retaliation had not yet been initiated. The inmates stated they were not notified of being monitored for retaliation prior to being notified of the investigation being determined as Unfounded. Staff assigned to conduct retaliation monitoring confirmed the investigation was determined as Unfounded on the day of being reported and/or not later than two weeks of being reported and he began the retaliation monitoring process at 30 days of being reported. However, the inmates stated they did not any encounters where they felt possible revenge from staff and/or other inmates after reporting an allegation of sexual abuse. Although one inmate housing assignment was changed, after reporting the allegation of sexual abuse, he admitted he received a disciplinary infraction due to being in possession of contraband.

115.67(c) (d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the Department shall monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse. Departmental monitoring shall involve looking for any changes that may suggest possible

retaliation by inmates or staff. Institutional SART members shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. TDOC Index 502.06.2 also states that monitoring shall continue beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. This monitoring shall include, but not be limited to inmate disciplinary reports; inmate housing or programming changes; or negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff. There were no incidents in which retaliation was warrant for an inmate currently assigned at the facility during the 12-month review period.

An interview was conducted with the WTSP Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator who is assigned to conduct retaliation monitoring for both staff and the inmate population. He stated he looks for changes in the inmate's disciplinary records, housing unit assignments, work assignments and communicates with the supervisor regarding possible changes in behavior. He added, if the inmate is on the mental health caseload, he communicates with the staff on possible changes with the inmate. Retaliation monitoring is conducted at 30-days, 60-days, and 90-days and longer if necessary. He added, the sexual abuse allegations reported at the facility within the review period was determined as Unfounded within one day to two weeks of being reported and therefore, retaliation monitoring was not warranted per the standard provision.

The auditor reviewed the 11 reported PREA investigative casefiles and identified 6 reported allegations of sexual harassment and 5 reported allegations of sexual abuse. The investigate findings for 4 of the reported sexual abuse cases was determined within 1 to 19 days of the reported allegations and prior to the initiation of retaliation monitoring. The remaining 1 allegation of sexual abuse was determined as Unsubstantiated. Specifically, on March 2, 2021, upon an inmate's arrival at another TDOC facility, he reported during the PREA risk screening, he was sexually abused while designated at WTSP in 2016-02017. This information was forwarded to the WTSP OIC Institution Investigator, and the investigation was determined as Unsubstantiated due to the inmate's refusal to cooperate with the investigation. Retaliation Relation monitoring was completed by staff assigned at the alleged victim's current TDOC institution and forward to WTSP upon completion. Documentation supports retaliation monitoring was completed at the 30 – day, 60-day and 90 -day intervals utilizing the PREA Retaliation Review (CR-3963) for inmates. Staff documented conversations with the inmate, adjustment at the facility and his request to not discuss the previous event further as it had occurred several years ago. The inmate was not placed on extended monitoring.

Based on the review of TDOC policies, PREA investigations, interviews with Agency Designee, Warden, staff assigned to conduct retaliation monitoring, three inmates who reported sexual abuse allegations and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
	3. Interviews with:
	a. Warden
	b. Staff who supervise segregation
	115.68 (a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that any use of restrictive housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse shall be subject to the requirements of this policy and coordinated by the unit management team. Protective Services Investigative Routing, CR-3241, shall clearly indicate the basis of concern for the inmate's safety and the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged. Every Protective Custody placement is, by policy reviewed every 30 days. Inmates placed in restrictive housing for this purpose shall have access to programs, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. If inmate access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities is restricted, the facility shall document what opportunities have been limited; the duration of the limitation; and the reasons for such limitations. This shall be documented on LCDG Contact Notes. Per the PAQ and interviews with the Warden, and staff who supervise segregation, there were 0 inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse placed in involuntary segregated in the past 12 months.
	Based on the review of policies, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. PREA Investigative Case Files
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. WTSP PCM.
- b. PREA Coordinator,
- c. Warden,
- d. OIC Investigators

115.71(a) TDOC 502.06.2 states it is the policy of TDOC to investigate all PREA sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations in a timely, efficient, and confidential manner in accordance with federal guidelines (Title 28 (CFR Part 115). Staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. Allegations shall be documented within 24 hours of becoming known to facility staff in the PREA Allegation System (PAS). These investigations shall be conducted within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. SART team members/investigators who have received special training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings shall investigate all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it shall do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports.

Interviews were conducted with the OIC Special Agent and the OIC Institution Investigator. Both stated an investigation is begun immediately upon becoming notified of the PREA allegation to include on weekends and non-working hours. The OIC Institution Investigator stated allegations are immediately logged into the PREA Allegation System (PAS) where they are assigned a case number. The OIC Special Agent indicated he is notified by the OIC Institution Investigator of the reported allegation within 10 minutes of being reported. Both investigators stated all PREA allegations are investigated in the same manner to include those reported directly to staff, anonymously, and/or via third party.

There were 11 reported allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment reported during the 12-month review period. The auditor elected to review all 11 casefiles. The investigative cases were completed normally within 1 day – 3 weeks of the reported allegation, depending on the circumstances of the case. The investigation of one case was prolonged due to the alleged victim of sexual harassment transit movement within the TDOC. This investigation was completed within 45 days of being reported.

115.71(b) TDOC 502.06.2 states, "where sexual abuse is alleged, the agency shall use investigators who have received special training in sexual abuse investigations." A Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART member who have received special training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings shall investigate. Confirmation of the specialized training "PREA: Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting" was completed by both the OIC Institution Investigator and the OIC Special Agent. As a sworn TDOC Law Enforcement Officer, the OIC Special Agent completed courses that exceeded the requirement of the PREA standards. Their training also includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warning, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative and prosecution referrals. The OIC Institution Investigator is a member of the SART and conduct all administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews were conducted with both the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent. Administrative investigation is typically investigated by the OIC Institution Investigator. However, if the case appears criminal in nature, the OIC Special Agent is notified and continue with the investigation with the assistance of the OIC Institution Investigator. Investigative staff review video, collect witness statement, review inmate telephone calls, conduct staff interviews, review grievances, review the history between the victim and aggressor, review disciplinary sanctions, and provided medical services as needed. A review of the 11 completed PREA investigations revealed the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent work closely together during the investigation of the sexual abuse allegations.

115.71(c) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that OIC Special Agents shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse

involving the suspected perpetrator. Interviews with both the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent, indicated the first steps in initiating an investigation would be ensure the victim and aggressor is separated, the affected area is secured and reserved as a crime scene, collect all available physical evidence, ensure the victim receive medical treatment to include a forensic medical examination, interview witnesses, review video, monitor inmate phone calls to include inmates not identified as involved, review prior disciplinary history and review applicable PREA cases involving both inmates. A review of the 11 investigative casefiles included interviews with both alleged victim and alleged aggressor, review of available video and telephone records, interviews with witnesses as applicable, inmate face sheets with criminal history, and prior reports of sexual abuse if appliable. There were 0 reported sexual abuse allegations during the review period, that resulted in the collection of physical and/or DNA evidence.

The auditor requested the most recent Substantiated sexual abuse case which was completed in 2016 that involved staff on inmate. Staff admitted to prohibited acts of sexual activity with inmate during the initial interview. No physical and/or DNA was applicable for collection.

115.71(d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states when the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the Department shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. An interview with the OIC Special Agent indicated as sworn law enforcement officer, the OIC Special Agents are not required to consult with the prosecutor prior to conducting compelled interviews. However, they do work closely with the prosecutors to obtain a conviction.

115.71(e) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "The credibility of a victim, suspect, or witness, shall be assessed on an individual basis, and shall not be determined by the person's status as inmate or staff. Inmates who allege sexual abuse shall not be required to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth telling devices as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation." Review of investigation files did not indicate the use of a polygraph or other truth-telling device or examination. Investigators indicated the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness is determined on an individual basis, but is not the determining factor in the investigative findings. The investigative findings are based on the preponderance of evidence collected and not on the status of an individual. Both investigators stated they have not and would not require a victim to submit to a polygraph examination. A review of the 11 PREA investigative case files did not reveal any indication that the alleged victim was requested to participate in a polygraphy or other truth-telling device. Interviews with 3 inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse confirmed they were not asked to submit to a polygraphy and/or other truth telling device.

115.71(f) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act facilitated the abuse and shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative findings. A review of the 11 administrative investigations and sexual abuse incident reviews demonstrated the investigators and SART team members include a review to determine the effect of staff actions and/or failures as it pertains to the reported allegation. An interview with both the OIC Institution Investigator and the OIC Special Agent confirmed they include detailed information in an investigative report if a staff 's actions or lack of responsibilities contributed to facilitating the abuse. A separate investigative report would be submitted under such circumstances. There were no noted entries within the investigative cases where staff actions and/or failure in performing proper duties that contributed to the reported PREA allegations.

115.71(g) TDOC 502.06.2 states criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report which contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence. The review of the PREA investigative casefiles and interviews with both the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent confirmed there were 0 Substantiated sexual abuse investigative findings during the 12-month review period. However, the auditor requested the most recent Substantiated sexual abuse case where criminal charges were determined for review. Specifically, on September 6, 2016, a staff on inmate sexual abuse investigation was determined as Substantiated. The female staff admitted during the interview process of being sexual involved with the inmate and submitted a written statement. The staff's member own admission to the criminal actions was sufficient to substantiate the investigation. No other evidence to include physical and/or DNA sample was applicable for collection.

115.71(h) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "Substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal shall be referred for prosecution. There were 5 reported allegations of sexual abuse during the review period. However, 1 was determined as Unsubstantiated and 4 were determined as Unfounded. Therefore, none of these sexual abuse allegations were submitted for criminal prosecution. The auditor reviewed the most recent Substantiated sexual abuse case completed in September 2016. The staff member was referred for criminal prosecution and was convicted on the charge of "Sexual Contact with Inmate" and sentence to the TDOC for a maximum sentence of 1 year and 0 days.

115.71(i) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states investigative records shall be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the Department, plus five additional years. This practice was confirmed by the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent. Administrative investigative case files are maintained in the PAS at the facility level and criminal investigations are maintained at the Office of Investigations and Conduct. Per the OIC Special Agent, criminal investigative cases are maintained at the main office in Nashville, TN for 10 years or longer.

115.71(j) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states that the departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or Department shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation. Interviews with both the OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent confirmed although staff may resign and /or an inmate may be released and or transferred during an investigation, the investigation continues to include the arrest and prosecution of staff when applicable. The auditor reviewed a previous Substantiated staff on inmate sexual abuse case which was completed in September 2016. The staff member resigned prior to finalization of termination, however the investigation continued throughout the investigative findings of Substantiated.

115.71 (k)(I) The Office of Investigations and Conduct is part of the Tennessee Department of Corrections and conducts its own criminal as well as administrative investigations into cases of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Therefore, this provision is not applicable, per interviews with the OIC Investigator, OIC Special Agent, Warden, Associate Warden (T) /Facility PREA Coordinator and WTSP PCM and review of the PREA investigative casefiles to include the previous criminal investigation completed in 2016, TDOC OIC Investigators complete all investigations.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse, Warden, OIC Investigators, WTSP PCM, and TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator, and criminal conviction of former staff member, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
	3. PREA Investigation Case Files
	4. Interviews with:
	a. OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent
	115.72(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "The Department shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse are substantiated." A review of the investigations indicates that the Department does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment are substantiated. Per interviews with the OIC Special Agent and OIC Institution Investigative a preponderance of evidence is the standard necessary to substantiate an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. A review of the 11 investigative PREA casefiles confirmed the OIC Institution Investigation based the investigative findings on the preponderance of evidence collected through the inmate phone records, review of available video, interviews with alleged victims, alleged aggressors, and identified witnesses. The OIC Investigator conducted thorough investigations.
	Based on the review of policies, interviews, review of the 11 completed PREA investigative case files, and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

115.73 Reporting to inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. TDOC PREA Status Notification CR-3984
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. OIC Institution Investigator and OIC Special Agent
- b. Warden
- c. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

115.73(a) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in a facility, the Department shall inform the inmate in writing as to whether the allegation has been determined to be Substantiated, Unsubstantiated or Unfounded. Interviews with the Warden, OIC Special Agent and OIC Institution Investigator confirmed at the conclusion of each PREA investigation, the inmate victim is notified of the investigative findings. There were 11 PREA allegations that included both sexual abuse and sexual harassment reported during the 12-month review period. All reported PREA allegations were completed by the OIC Institution Investigator and/or the WTSP Internal Affairs Investigator. Copies of the TDOC PREA Status Notification was observed in each of the completed 11 PREA investigative case files while noting the date the inmate received notification. Interviews were conducted with 3 inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse during the 12-month review period. Each of the inmates reported they were informed of the investigative findings by staff.

115.73(b) The agency conducts its own criminal as well as administrative investigations into cases of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Therefore, this provision is not applicable.

115.73(c) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse, the Department shall subsequently inform the inmate in writing whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; and the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. There were 0 substantiated staff-on-inmate sexual abuse determined during the 12-month review. However, interviews with 3 inmates who reported sexual abuse allegations that was not determined as Substantiated, acknowledged they were informed of the investigative findings by staff.

The auditor reviewed a previous Substantiated staff on inmate sexual abuse case which was completed in September 2016. The inmate victim was notified via the Inmate PREA Allegation Status Notification of the following: a) The employee is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; b) The employee is no longer employed at the facility; c) The employee has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; d) The employee has been convicted on a change related to sexual abuse within the facility. A second staff served as a witness to the inmate's notification due to the inmate's refusal to sign.

115.73(d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 indicates that following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in a facility, the Department shall inform the inmate in writing whenever the facility learns that an alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. Per the review of the completed PREA investigative case files and interview with the OIC Institution Investigator, there has been 0 Substantiated allegations of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse where the aggressor has been indicated pending criminal charges. However, interviews with 3 inmates who reported sexual abuse allegations that was not determined as Substantiated, acknowledged they were informed of the investigative findings by staff.

115.73(e) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states all notifications shall be done in writing using Inmate PREA Allegation Status Notification, CR-3984, and the inmate shall acknowledge by signature that he/she has received such notification. The notification shall become part of the allegation file. If the inmate refuses to sign the acknowledgement, an additional staff member shall sign and date acknowledging the inmate refusal. Interviews with the OIC Investigators indicated all inmates are notified of the investigative findings upon completion of the investigation. The auditor reviewed the 11 completed PREA case files and confirmed all inmates were documented as notified of the investigative findings. However, 8 of the 11 inmates refused to acknowledge notification by signature. In each of these circumstances, a second staff member signed as a

witness to the inmates' receipt of notification. Three inmates documented their signature as receipt of the investigative findings. All notifications of the PREA investigative findings were documented on the Inmate PREA Allegation Status Notification, CR-3984, as presented to the inmates by the OIC Institution Investigative and/or by the WTSP Internal Affairs Investigator.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, presentation of PREA Allegation Status Notifications for each of the 11 PREA investigations, documentation of inmate's signatures and/or staff witness documenting informing the inmate, interviews with inmates who reported sexual abuse allegations, Warden and OIC Investigative staff, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
	3. PREA Investigative Case Files
	4. Interviews with:

a. Warden

115.76 (a-d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or PREA policies. Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual touching only after conclusion of investigation. Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of the Department's sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to any relevant licensing bodies. Per interview with the Warden, employees are disciplined based on the outcome of sexual misconduct investigation. The facility would use progressive discipline for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

The PAQ identified one staff disciplined, short of termination, for violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) during the 21-month review. However, this number was incorrect. There were 0 substantiated PREA allegations for staff – on inmate sexual abuse and or sexual harassment findings during the 12-month review period.

The auditor included in the review a Substantiated staff on inmate sexual abuse investigation completed in September 2016. The staff member was identified as resigning immediately following the interview with OIC Investigator and was later convicted on criminal charges for engaging in sexual activities with the inmate.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, and interviews with Warden, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
	3. PREA Investigative Case Files
	5. Interviews with:
	a. Warden
	115.77(a), (b) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse is prohibited from contact with inmates and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies and if found to have engaged in sexual abuse or sexual harassment of an inmate shall be prohibited from further contact with any inmate. TDOC Index 115.01 states if after an investigation it is necessary to limit the services of a volunteer, the approving authority shall notify the volunteer in writing of such action. The approving authority may terminate the services of a volunteer, and such termination shall apply to all institutions. Per an interview with the Warden, volunteers and contractors would be prohibited from further contact with any inmates and prohibited from entering the facility until the completion of the investigation is determined. Further actions would be determined upon the investigative findings that include reporting the individual to relevant licensing bodies as applicable. Per a review of the PAQ, and the PREA investigation case files, and interviews with the OIC Institution Investigator, and OIC Special Agent, there were 0 Substantiated staff-on-inmate sexual abuse cases during the review period.
	Based on the review of policies, PREA investigative case files, interviews and analysis, the facility is compliant with all provisions of this standard.

115.78 Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. Review of PREA Investigative Case Files
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. Warden
- b. Behavioral Health Administrator
- c. OIC Institution Investigator

115.78(a) TDOC Index 502.06 states, "Inmates shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. Per the WTSP PAQ, review of PREA Case Logs, and PREA investigative case files, and interview with the OIC Institution Investigator, there were 0 Substantiated investigations for reported for sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment during the 12-month review period.

115.78(b) & (c) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states that sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories. There were no Substantiated PREA reported allegations to compare disciplinary sanctions of inmates.

115.78(d) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, the facility shall consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits. Per an interview with Behavior Health Administrator, she indicated mental health staff provide individual therapy services to inmates identified as an aggressor. However, inmates interested in attending the Sexual Offender Treatment Program would be transferred to the TDOC DeBerry Special Needs Facility as these services at not available at WTSP. Inmates have the option to accept and/or refuse to participate in the available services at any time.

115.78(e) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states an inmate may be disciplined for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. There were 0 inmate-inmate sexual abuse case with an investigative finding of substantiated.

The Warden explained inmates who are determined to have committed sexual abuse are subject to sanctions under the disciplinary process at the facility level and if criminal charges were identified, criminal prosecution would be pursued.

115.78(f) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting and incident or lying, even if the investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation." An interview with the OIC Institution Investigator and review of the PREA case files, indicated although the investigative findings determined some inmates reported false allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment, these inmates did not receive a disciplinary report.

115.78(g) TDOC Index 502.06.2 states, "Any prohibition on inmate-on-inmate sexual activity shall not consider consensual sexual activity to constitute sexual abuse." Per interview with the Warden, the facility does not consider consensual sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse. There were no incidents reported of consensual sexual activity that was determined to constitute sexual abuse during the 12-month review period.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, and interviews with Warden and Behavioral Health Administrator, OIC Investigator, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.3 Medical, Behavioral Health, Victim Advocacy, and Community Support Services for PREA Victims
- 3. PREA Mental Health Referrals
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. Medical and Behavioral Health Staff
- b. Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- c. Inmates that disclose victimization during PREA Screening

115.81 (a) (b) (c) TDOC Index 502.06.3 states that, "If the screening process indicates that an inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization or has perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a referral to a medical and behavioral health provider within 14 days of the screening. The PAQ indicated that 100% of inmates that reported prior sexual victimization or abusiveness were offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health provider.

The facility reported 786 newly arriving inmates during the 12-month review. Sixty inmates were identified during PREA risk screening as prior aggressors. The facility referrals all inmates identified as prior victims of sexual abuse and as a prior aggressor for a mental health referral. The referrals are initiated during the initial 72- hour PREA screening. Sixteen inmates were identified as prior victims of sexual abuse and 60 inmates were identified as prior aggressors and all were referred to mental health. The Institution Health Services Referral form (CR-3431) is completed by staff who conduct risk screenings upon the inmate being identified as a prior victim and/or a prior aggressor. The auditor randomly selected a documentation review for 8 inmates who scored as prior victims of sexual abuse and 8 inmates who scored as prior aggressors of sexual abuse. The review confirmed the 16 inmates selected were seen by the mental health staff not later than 7 days after the referral was made. Upon identifying the inmate as such as either a prior victim and/or a prior aggress, the form is signed by the referring staff while identifying the date and time the referral was submitted. The attending behavioral health services staff acknowledges the date and time the inmate was seen.

Interviews were conducted with four inmates who was identified as prior victims of sexual abuse during their initial risk screening. All acknowledged being seen by mental health within days of their arrival at the facility.

115.81(d) TDOC Index 502.06.3 indicates that any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and behavioral health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to make informed treatment plans and security and management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and programs assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law. Per interviews with medical, mental health staff and staff who conduct risk screening, all confirmed any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institution setting is strictly limited to the medical and mental health staff, the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator and the Chief Counselor as this information is strictly limited. The information is used to ensure the safety of inmates identified as prior victims from those identified as an aggressor. Staff have access to the identification of victims and abusers as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments. The Job Placement Coordinator can only view an inmate's incompatibles for job placement.

115.81(e) TDOC Index 502.06.3 indicates medical and mental health practitioners must obtain informed consent from an inmate before reporting prior sexual victimization that did not occur in correctional setting. Interviews conducted with the Health Services Administrator, Director of Nurses, and Mental Health Administrator verified staff do obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting any knowledge or suspicion of sexual abuse that occurred prior to incarceration other than if the inmate is under the age of 18. They stated if the incident reported involved a minor, they are required by law to report.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of mental health referrals, and interviews with inmates who reported prior sexual victimization, staff who conducts risk screening, medical and mental health staff, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

Access to emergency medical and mental health services
Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.3 Medical, Behavioral Health, Victim Advocacy, and Community Support Services for PREA Victims
- 3. Interviews with:
- a. Medical and Behavioral Health Staff
- b. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

115.82(a) TDOC Index 502.06.3 states victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and behavioral health providers, according to their professional judgment. PREA Resource Center Lesson Plan - Specialized Training for Medical/Mental Health Care Standards states an initial medical evaluation and subsequent intervention focused solely upon injury or trauma sustained during the assault shall be conducted. Following any report by an inmate concerning sexual assault, the inmate will be brought to medical for an examination to address any immediate medical needs. The clinician will identify and triage inmates that require medical intervention, and provide treatment (First Aide type, ice bandages etc.) necessary to stabilize the inmate prior to and during transfer to a facility for forensic examination. An interview with the Health Services Administrator (HSA) and Director of Nursing verified that victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. Each state the inmate is seen immediately upon being notified as medical staff are on duty 24/7 and available to the inmate population. If the inmate alleges sexual abuse, medical staff will only provide emergency care with no services that would disturb any physical evidence. Services provided are within policy and upon the inmate return to the facility, medical staff would follow the recommendations made by the SANE/SAFE and/or attending doctor at the local hospital and the facility doctor. An interview with the Behavior Health Administrator indicated mental health staff is on-call 24/7 and if penetration is not involved, the inmate would be seen the next business day, however, it would always depend on the circumstances of the reported sexual assault. Medical and mental health staff indicated upon the inmate's return to the facility, the inmate may be placed on medical observation where he would be monitored by both medical and mental health staff prior to returning to general population housing. During placement on medical observation, the inmate would be monitored 24/7 via video by security staff. Both medical and mental health staff indicated services are provided in accordance with their professional judgement, law and within TDOC policies.

The auditor interviewed three inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse. However, neither of these allegations alleged penetration that resulted in sufficient evidence to support their allegation and/or required medical treatment.

An interview was conducted with a security staff member who served as a first responder. The reported incident did not include sexual penetration and did not require medical treatment nor mental health services.

115.82(b) TDOC Index 502.06.3 states, "If no qualified medical staff are on duty at the time of a report of a recent abuse, a correctional officer trained to render first aid may help as needed." Medical staff are on duty 24/7 at WTSP and medical services are provided as needed. All staff selected for interviews were aware that medical staff would be notified of reported PREA allegations and response immediately. Their description of actions taken included notifying the Shift Commander and medical supervisors while keeping the victim safe and separated from the abuser. Per the Health Services Administrator, medical staff is on duty 24/7 daily to include weekends. There has not been any incidents of reported sexual abuse that alleged sexual penetration and/or required medical treatment since November 3, 2013. The inmate was escorted to the local hospital where a forensic medical examination was completed.

115.82(c) TDOC Index 502.06.3 indicate inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration, while incarcerated, shall be offered pregnancy tests and timely information about, and access to, all pregnancy-related medical services that are lawful in the community. WTSP houses male inmates only.

115.82(d) TDOC Index 502.06.3 indicates that treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with the investigation. Interviews with medical staff also verified that the services would be provided at no cost. There has not been any incidents of reported sexual abuse that alleged sexual penetration and/or required medical treatment since November 3, 2013. The inmate was escorted to the local hospital where a forensic medical examination was completed.

Based on the review of policies, PREA investigations, interviews and analysis, the facility is compliant with all provisions of this standard.

115.83 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.03 Medical, Behavioral Health, Victim Advocacy, and Community Support Services for PREA Victims
- 3. Interviews with:
- a. Medical and Behavioral Health Staff
- b. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

115.83(a) TDOC Index 502.06.3 addresses the requirements of this standard. If the screening process indicates that an inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, or has perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff shall ensure that the inmate is offered a referral to a medical and/or behavioral health provider within 7 days of the screening. The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody. An interview with medical staff indicated they would meet with the victim upon their return to the facility and follow-up services would be based on the individual's treatment needs. An interview with the Behavioral Health Administrator indicated staff are on call 24/7 and depending on the circumstances of the alleged abuse staff would report to the facility immediately and/or the next business day. There have been 0 incidents of reported sexual abuse that alleged sexual penetration and/or required medical treatment since November 3, 2013. The victim was escorted to the local hospital where a forensic medical examination was completed.

115.83(b) TDOC Index 502.06.3 indicates that the evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans and when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody. TDOC facilities offer follow-up services within the correctional facilities. Per interviews with the Health Services Administrator, Director of Nurses, and Behavioral Health Administrator, upon the inmate's release from custody, he would receive medication for 30-days and case managers within both departments would work together to set up initial appointments as close as possible to the inmate's release community. The initial services are the County Health Department, specialty clinics that provide professional care services and medication management as needed. There have not been any incidents of reported sexual abuse that alleged sexual penetration and/or required medical treatment since November 3, 2013.

115.83(c) Interviews with medical staff and mental health staff, all indicated the level of care provided to the inmate population is nothing less than equal to the level of care within the communities and in most cases, they felt the services exceed the community level of care.

115.83(d) & (e) WTSP houses male inmates. Therefore, this provision of the standard is not applicable.

115.83(f) TDOC 502.06.3 states inmate victims of sexual abuse, while incarcerated, shall be offered test for sexually transmitted infections and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care. Interviews with inmates who reported allegations of sexual abuse confirmed they did require medical treatment as not sexual penetration was involved. There have not been any incidents of reported sexual abuse that alleged sexual penetration and/or required medical treatment since November 3, 2013. This inmate was escorted to the local hospital where a forensic medical examination was completed.

115.83(h) TDOC Index 502.06.3 states all facilities shall attempt to conduct a behavioral health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 14 days of learning of such abuse history. They shall be offered treatment when deemed appropriate by behavioral health providers. An interview with Behavior Health Administrator, mental health staff are required to complete a mental health evaluation of all known inmate - on - inmate abusers and offer treatment if appropriate. The inmate would be seen within 14 days of the investigative finding. The inmate would be offered services but has the option to refuse. However, there have not been any inmates identified as an inmate – on -inmate abuser within the past few years at WTSP. The inmate would be offered services but has the option to refuse.

Based on the auditor's analysis of the information collected through review of policies, review of investigative case files, and interviews with medical and mental health supervisors, it is concluded that WTSP has demonstrated compliance with all the provisions of this standard.

115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):

- 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- 2. TDOC Index 502.06.2 PREA Allegations, Investigations, and SART
- 3. TDOC Sexual Abuse Incident Review Report
- 4. Interviews with:
- a. Warden
- b. Associate Warden/Facility PREA Coordinator/Incident Review Team Member

115.86(a)(b)(c) TDOC 502.06.2 states, the facility shall conduct a Sexual Abuse Incident Review Report, CR-3985, at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including investigations in which the allegation has not been determined as Substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. Such reviews shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The review team shall include the Warden/Superintendent/designee, Associate Warden of Treatment/Deputy Superintendent/Assistant Warden at privately managed facilities, facility and OIC Institution Investigator, line supervisor, and medical/mental health professionals. These individuals are members of the Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) whom duties include but not limited to meeting monthly to discuss and review Substantiated and Unsubstantiated sexual abuse cases.

The PAQ identified in the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility, excluding only "unfounded" as 2. However, this number was identified as incorrect. The correct number is 1. A review of the 11 investigative PREA case files confirmed there were 0 Substantiated sexual abuse investigative findings for both staff on inmate and inmate on inmate. There were 0 Unsubstantiated staff on inmate sexual abuse investigative findings and 1 Unsubstantiated inmate on inmate sexual abuse investigative finding during the 12-month review period. The investigative report was completed on April 14, 2021, and the incident review was conducted on May 13, 2021. Although not required per the standard, the WTSP SART conducts incident reviews on all Substantiated and Unsubstantiated, sexual harassment investigative findings. There was 1 Unsubstantiated staff on inmate sexual harassment investigative finding. The investigative report was concluded on April 5, 2021, and the incident review was completed on April 23, 2021. Members of the incident review team were identified as the Warden, Associate Warden (T)/Facility PREA Coordinator, Line Staff Supervisor, OIC Institution Investigator, Acting Health Services Administrator, Chief Counselor, and the Behavior Health Administrator. Both reviews were conducted within 30 days of the completed investigation.

115.86(d) (e) TDOC 502.06.2 requires the review team to a) Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; b) Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, perceived status, gang affiliation, or other group dynamics at the facility; c) Examine the area in the facility or facility grounds where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse. d) Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; (e) Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augment to supplement supervision by staff; f) Prepare a report of its findings, including but not limited to, determinations made in accordance with (a-c) and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the Warden/Superintendent. Per an interview with the Warden and Associate Warden (T)/ Facility PREA Coordinator each identified the Sexual Abuse Incident Review team consist of SART members who are supervisory staff within various departments in accordance with the PREA standards provision. Both stated the team meets monthly to review the most recent PREA investigations to identify any necessary corrective actions needed and recommendations to include policy changes, the assignment of and/or training to staff, the elimination of blind spots and/or other measures that could have contributed to an opportunity of sexual abuse occurring. Both acknowledged the safety of staff and the inmate population from incidents of sexual abuse is a priority of not only the facility and TDOC.

The auditor reviewed the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Reports and confirmed staff documented the reviews with a completion of the following: consideration of whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; assessed whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augment to supplement supervision by staff; examined the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers to the area may have enabled abuse; assessed the adequacy of staffing levels in the area during different shifts and considered whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, LGBT identification, status or perceived status, or gang affiliation, or was motivated or caused by other group dynamics of

the facility, a that may have enabled abuse. A copy of the incident review is scanned and electronically forwarded to the State-wide PREA Coordinator for consideration of approval.

Based on the review of TDOC policy, the 11 PREA investigative case files, Sexual Abuse Incident Review Reports, interviews with the Warden and WTSP PREA Coordinator who are members of the Incident Review Team, and analysis, the facility is compliant with all provisions of this standard.

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
	3. 2020 Annual SSV PREA Report
	4. Interview with:
	a. TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator
	115.87(a) TDOC Index 502.06 states that staff shall collect accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. TDOC shall aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. Per an interview with the State-wide PREA Coordinator, data is collected by the Decision Support: Research and Planning Development Department using the Department of Justice annual reporting format and the set definitions identified in TDOC Index #502.06.
	115.87(b) TDOC Index 502.06 indicates that the TDOC shall aggregated the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. The PREA Coordinator shall ensure that data collected is securely retained. The TDOC PREA Coordinator shall review data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training.
	115.87(c) The State-wide PREA Coordinator provided a copy of their most recent 2020 SSV report that demonstrated that the data collected by the facility is at least sufficient to answer all questions on the survey conducted by the Department of Justice, the Survey of Sexual Violence. Per an interview the Department of Justice has not requested a more recent copy of the Agency's Survey of Sexual Violence.
	115.87(d) TDOC Index 502.06 states, that TDOC shall maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. Per the TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator, he is actively involved in the notification of all reported PREA allegations from each of the TDOC facilities. He has program access to review and collect all data submitted by the OIC Investigative staff and maintain an open line of communication while discussing various cases upon receipt of notification.
	115.87(e) TDOC Index 502.06 states, "The TDOC PREA Coordinator shall ensure that data collected is securely retained. TDOC shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from TDOC facilities and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through the TDOC website. Copies of the Substantiated PREA allegations reports that contain PREA allegations for each TDOC and each of the four privately contracted facilities were submitted for review. Per the TDOC Stare-wide PREA Auditor, in addition to the contract monitor assigned at each privately operated contract facility, he also monitors the reported PREA allegations at each.
	115.87(f) TDOC 502.06 indicates that a report prepared by the State-wide-PREA Coordinator shall be prepared utilizing the Department of Justice annual format. The State-wide PREA Coordinator provided the auditor a copy of the 2020 SSV-2 which demonstrated the information was submitted to the Department of Justice timely and stated the DOJ has not requested a more recent copy of the SVV-2 for 2021.
	Based on the review of policies, incident reviews, interviews and analysis, the facility has demonstrated compliance with all

provisions of this standard.

115.88 Data review for corrective action Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review): 1. WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

- 2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
- 3. Agency website
- 4. 2020-2021 Annual PREA Report
- 5. Interviews with:
- a. TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator
- b. TDOC Agency Head Designee

115.88(a-d) TDOC Index 502.06 addresses the requirement of this standard. The Directive indicates that TDOC staff shall aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data annually. Maintain review and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. Ensure that all aggregated sexual abuse data is included in an annual report that includes an assessment of the Department's sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training; If applicable, identifies Department-wide problem areas or problems within specific correctional facilities; Is used to facilitate corrective action at the Department and correctional facility levels; compares the current calendar year's data and activities with that available from previous years; Assesses the Department's progress in addressing sexual abuse; and is approved by the Commissioner and made readily available to the public through the Department's website. Personal identifiers shall be removed prior to the data being made publicly available. Per interviews with the Agency Head Designee and TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator, section of the report identifies corrective actions taken. The SART conduct monthly walk throughs within the facility while identifying and submitting any work orders that are required to be completed by the following monthly walk-through of not less than 30 - days. The Commissioner receives a monthly report of all allegations reported at each TDOC facility.

Per the Agency Head Designee, TDOC use the incident-based sexual abuse data to assess and impro sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices, and training in the following manners. All incidents are reported and investigated, trends are identified (i.e. regarding the time, location, staff involved etc.) As the trends are identified, processes and policies are refined to ensure the adequate addressing of any issues found. If there is a deficiency noted that can be correct with additional train, it is arranged. If there is an issue that needs to be clarified, a memorandum of instruction is issued. The retaliation monitoring conducted for victims, and staff reporter was born out of trends observed.

The State-wide PREA Coordinator confirmed he review the data collected and approval. He submits the comparison and forward to the Commissioner for review and approval via signature. Only then can the report be posted on the Department's website.

The auditor reviewed the website at https://www.tn.gov/correction/sp/prison-rape-elimination-act.html and verified the 2020 – 2021 Annual Report was signed by the Commissioner and published. A review of the report indicated a comparison of 2019-2020 and 2020 – 2021. The report was dated September 29, 2020 (date error made should be 2021) and signed by the TDOC Commissioner on October 22, 2021. The report is professionally written and addresses the requirement of this standard.

Based on a review of policy, website, annual report, interview and analysis, the facility is compliant with all provisions of this standard.

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Evidence Reviewed (documents, interviews, site review):
	WTSP Completed Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
	2. TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance
	3. Agency website
	4. 2020-2021 Annual PREA Report
	5. Review of Contract Facilities Reported PREA Data
	6. Interview with:
	a. TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator
	115.89 (a-d) TDOC Index 502.06 addresses the requirements of this standard. The directive indicates the TDOC PREA Coordinator shall ensure that data collected is securely retained. The TDOC is responsible for completing an annual report and when approved by the Commissioner it is made available to the public through the Department's public website. The report should redact information that would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a prison before publication indicating the nature of the redacted information and related personal identifiers shall be removed prior to being made public. Securely maintain incident–based and aggregate data ensuring only authorized personnel have access to the information. The TDOC State-wide PREA Coordinator shall maintain sexual abuse data for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection.
	Per an interview with the State-wide PREA Coordinator, he also indicated the data is securely maintained for at least 10 years in computerized system and only authorized personnel has access. The auditor reviewed the agency website at https://www.tn.gov/correction/sp/prison-rape-elimination-act.html verified the 2020-2021 Annual Report was published. A review of the annual reports indicated there were no personal identifiers included.
	Based on the review of policy, website, annual report, interview and analysis, the facility is compliant with all provisions of this standard.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	TDOC Index 502.06 PREA Implementation, Education, and Compliance was reviewed and meets the requirement of this standard. The directive requires the PREA Coordinator to ensure that Department PREA-related activities comply with federal PREA standards in the following areas, Audits, and Auditing and corrective action. This the third year of third audit cycle for WTSP. The Agency oversees 11 facilities and the agency website had PREA audit reports posted for all facilities during the past audit cycle. The auditor had been provided with extensive files prior to the audit, for review to support a conclusion of compliance with PREA standards. During the on-site visit, during the pre-audit and post audit phases, the auditor reviewed and received sufficient sampling based on the size of the facility of case records, training records, investigative reports, additional program information and documents. The auditor interviewed an excess of the required number of staff and inmates based on the population and all were knowledgeable regarding PREA requirements that included staff and inmates. The auditor was given access to and the opportunity to tour and visit all areas of the facility. Inmates confirmed their observation of the notice of audit posted throughout the institution and the auditor's name and mailing address to submit confidential correspondence. Per an interview with mailroom staff, inmates are allowed to forward confidential correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as mail addressed to legal counselor. However, the auditor did not receive any the correspondence from staff and/or the inmate population.
	Based on the above, the facility has demonstrated substantial compliance with all provisions of this standard.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Per TDOC directives and standard requirements, TDOC ensures all final reports will be published on their websites to be available to the public. A review of the TDOC website at www.tn.gov/correction/sp/prisonrape-elimination-act.html contained the final 15 previous PREA reports completed for the 11 correctional facilities operated by TDOC and the four correctional facilities contracted out by TDOC. Final reports were published on the agency website within 90 days of issuance to include those facilities that are contracted by the TDOC.
	Based on the review of the TDOC Agency's website, and confirmation of the identified four contract facilities, TDOC has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Appendix: Provision Findings				
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes		
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes		
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator			
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes		
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes		
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes		
22 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordi				
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes		
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes		
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates			
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes		
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates			
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes		

115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes

115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes
115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (b) Youthful inmates		
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na

115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes

115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes

115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)) Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	no
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	па
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	па
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na
Employee training	
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
Employee training	
Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Does the agency document all such referrals? Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) Employee training Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retatiation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and profes

115.31 (c)) Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes

115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	na
115.35 (c)	115.35 (c) Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes

115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	yes
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes

115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d) (8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes
115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes

115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes

115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
		Į

115.51 (b)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
-	-	•

115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes

115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes

115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes

115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes

115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (I)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na

Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unlounded? Its 73 (b) Reporting to inmates If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) In agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations are considered in the agency and criminal investigations.) In agency and investigation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the allegad victim whenever: The agency lear	115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? 115.73 (b) Reporting to inmates If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an immate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (NA if the agencyifacility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) 115.73 (c) Reporting to inmates Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the immate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The staff member is no longer possed within the immate's uniform the resident whenever. The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident whenever. The agency learns that the staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident whenever. The agency learns that the staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The agency learns that the resident unless the agency autosequently inform the allegation is unfounded. Following an immate's allegation that he or she ha		evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are	yes
agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? It store that the substantiated is substantiated, or unfounded? If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (NA if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) In agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (NA if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations). In agency facility, does the agency agency and administrative and criminal ministers agency to increase the resident, unless the agency bas determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been included on a charge related to sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the allegad duster whenever has been included on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's alleg	115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an immate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) 115.73 (c) Reporting to inmates Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency base determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency base determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident in the staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the resident has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inma		agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been	yes
agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) 115.73 (c) Reporting to inmates Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the immate's unit? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident, unless the agency learns that the staff member has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident name to the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The agency learns that the staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever agency learns that the allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicated on a c	115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the immate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the immate's unit? Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident make the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident as been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an immate's allegation that a staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an immate's allegation that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Reporting to immates Following an immate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another immate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an immate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another immate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an immate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another immate, does the agency subsequently inform		agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative	na
resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the immate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever. The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident whenever. The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident whenever. The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an immate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an immate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever. The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facil	115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Reporting to inmates Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Pollowing in inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim wheneve		resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident	yes
resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Poliowing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abused has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Poliowing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, and the		resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident	yes
resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? 115.73 (d) Reporting to inmates Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? 115.73 (e) Reporting to inmates Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? yes 115.76 (a) Disciplinary sanctions for staff Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Disciplinary sanctions for staff Disciplinary sanctions for staff		resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to	yes
Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Reporting to inmates Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? yes 115.76 (a) Disciplinary sanctions for staff Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? 115.76 (b) Disciplinary sanctions for staff		resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to	yes
does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Reporting to inmates Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? yes 115.76 (a) Disciplinary sanctions for staff Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Disciplinary sanctions for staff Disciplinary sanctions for staff	115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? 115.73 (e) Reporting to inmates Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? yes 115.76 (a) Disciplinary sanctions for staff Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? 115.76 (b) Disciplinary sanctions for staff		does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the	yes
Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? 115.76 (a) Disciplinary sanctions for staff Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? 115.76 (b) Disciplinary sanctions for staff		does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the	yes
115.76 (a) Disciplinary sanctions for staff Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? 115.76 (b) Disciplinary sanctions for staff	115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Disciplinary sanctions for staff		Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? 115.76 (b) Disciplinary sanctions for staff	115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
			yes
Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
		Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes

115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff		
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes	
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff		
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes	
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers		
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes	
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers		
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes	
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates		
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes	
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates		
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes	
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates		
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes	
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates		
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes	
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates		
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes	

115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	yes
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes

115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes
115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes

115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes

115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	yes
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes